THE STATE OF POVERTY IN OHIO 2004

OHIO ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCIES

2004 ANNUAL REPORT



About OACAA

The Ohio Association of Community Action Agencies represents most of the State's 52 locally governed community action organizations, covering all 88 counties. Community Action Agencies are governed by boards made up of low-income people, local political leaders and representatives of the private sector. They provide a comprehensive menu of cost-effective, one-stop services to help Ohioans on the path to self-sufficiency. Ohio's CAAs collectively, for example, provide Head Start services to roughly 75 percent of all enrolled children; prepare over 7 million meals for low income residents and direct health care to over 231,000 patients a year.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The sixth annual State of Poverty in Ohio was released February 12, 2004 by the Ohio Association of Community Action Agencies. OACAA thanks Jacqueline A. Middleton, Executive Director of the Council for Economic Opportunities in Greater Cleveland for CEOGC's lead role in the preparation of this analysis. We thank the Ohio Department of Taxation, the Ohio Department of Jobs and Family Services, the Ohio Legislative Office of Education Oversight, and the Ohio Department of Education for their cooperation during the process of generating raw data measuring Ohio income trends, Ohio trends in jobs and unemployment claims as well as unduplicated data by age and income for children in families who receive public assistance. OACAA thanks Mark Salling, Director of the Northern Ohio Data and Information Service at Cleveland State University, who provided useful assistance on new 2000 census data. George Zeller, Senior Researcher at the Council for Economic Opportunities in Greater Cleveland, directed the State of Poverty in Ohio project, and is responsible for the content of this report.

OHIO ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCIES 50 WEST BROAD STREET, SUITE 1616 COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215

> (614) 224-8500 http://www.oacaa.org

Phil Cole, Executive Director Gwen L. Robinson, President

Executive Summary

Income Inequality Still High in Ohio. Like the rest of the United States, Ohio benefited from eight years of a growing economy during most of the 1990's. This was the longest economic expansion that the United States has ever experienced. The income of an average Ohio taxpayer rose 10.3% between 1989 and 2000. Very welcome growth in both jobs and earnings had an anti-poverty impact in Ohio during much of the decade. But, this growth was unevenly distributed among the state's residents. Incomes skyrocketed in communities that already were affluent. At the same time, incomes fell during a record economic growth period in most of Ohio's low income districts, and in a large majority of Ohio's large cities. Middle income sections of the state saw income stability or modest income growth. During the best economic growth period ever measured, Ohio's rich got richer, but its poor got poorer. A rising tide did not lift all boats.

Ohio's Current Recession Cuts Jobs, Incomes, and Paychecks. Economic expansion ended in the United States in March 2001. Ohio preceded the nation into the recession, and was in recession throughout 2001. The state remains in recession during early 2004. Most parts of Ohio were previously in recession during several months of 2000. All but five Ohio counties were losing employment by mid-2001; these job losses got worse through the most recently available data in mid-2003. More than 233,000 Ohio workers lost their jobs. The loss of hundreds of thousands of Ohio jobs quickly caused incomes to plunge in households across the state.

The Rich Get Poorer. Incomes fell most sharply during the 2001-2004 recession in affluent areas of Ohio, reversing a pattern seen during the 1990's when low income areas suffered from Ohio's most rapid income declines. As incomes fell among Ohio's affluent during the recession, incomes continued to fall in Ohio's low income communities.

1990's Ohio Poverty Declines Are Reversing. The 2000 census measured a decline in Ohio's poverty rate to 10.6% of Ohio's residents, in comparison to a comparable 12.5% rate found by the 1990 census. But, this report finds that the census poverty figures contained a large undercount. The census figures significantly underestimated poverty in Ohio when the census was taken. In addition, the current recession started after the census was conducted. So, none of the job, paycheck, and income losses caused by the current recession were measured by the 2000 census. Poverty has certainly risen significantly in Ohio during 2001, 2002 and 2003, and it continues to rise during early 2004. None of this increasing poverty is measured by 2000 census data, which are now obsolete.

The Relationship Between Welfare Caseload Change and Job Growth Has Disappeared in Ohio. For many decades, there has always been a relationship between trends in job growth and trends in the number of Ohio families who participate in cash welfare programs. In the past, welfare caseloads have grown during recessions when jobs disappeared, but they subsequently fell during periods of economic recovery. That

relationship no longer exists. With only one exception (Delaware County), all Ohio counties have had periods during the current recession when their welfare caseload declines exceeded total growth in the number of local jobs. In fact, statewide welfare caseloads have continued to decline even as the state lost hundreds of thousands of jobs during the recession. Those caseload declines have been associated with structural features of Ohio's welfare reform legislation, notably the three year time limit, not with movement from welfare to work as a result of job creation, since the state was simultaneously *losing* hundreds of thousands of jobs.

Making Work Pay. During most years of the 1960's and early 1970's, a worker with a job generating earnings at the Federal Minimum Wage had a paycheck that brought a typical worker's family out of poverty. In today's dollars, the value of the federal minimum wage reached a peak of \$8.49 per hour in 1968. This was more than enough money to lift a typical family's income above poverty. Since then, the value of the federal minimum wage has been repeatedly cut. The 1968-2003 cut was more than -39%. The minimum wage is cut in the United States virtually every year, since it is not indexed to inflation. A full time all year minimum wage job now leaves a typical Ohio family at only 70% of the poverty level. The federal policy of continually cutting the value of the minimum wage contributes to a constant rise in the number of working poor families in Ohio.

Income Inequality Still High in Ohio.

Poverty in Ohio, as it is throughout the continental United States, is federally defined as incomes that fall below official Federal poverty standards. For a typical family of three, Ohio families are poor if their current annual income falls below \$15,260. The obvious way to end poverty in any family is for a worker in that family to get and hold a job that pays earnings sufficient to exceed the poverty income standard. A full time year-round job must pay at least \$7.34 per hour to reach this level, a figure 43% higher than the current minimum wage.

Ohio fortunately participated in the positive national economy for eight years between the winter of 1992 and the end of 2000. Although Ohio's job growth was below the United States national average during most of this period, the state consistently gained new jobs during a lengthy growth period in the business cycle. Real earnings of the average Ohio job rose 7% during the 1990's from \$31,538 in 1990 to \$33,647 in 2000. The combination of continual job growth and mean earnings growth created circumstances that should have raised incomes and reduced the extent of poverty in the state. Figures on the mean income of an Ohio taxpayer from the Ohio Department of Taxation confirm that the average income of an Ohio taxpayer rose 14% from \$39,300 in 1990 to \$44,766 in 2000.

Despite the favorable 1990's trends in jobs, earnings and incomes, newly available data show that all Ohio residents did not participate in the growing 1990's economy. Two major factors are associated with this problem. Year by year income gains have been clearly associated with the business cycle, with widespread income growth during

economic recovery and widespread income loss during economic recession. Few communities experienced income growth during the 1990's recession, but large majorities of Ohio's localities experienced income gains during recovery years. As the current economic recession drew closer, the proportion of Ohio's communities with increases in average income fell steadily. New data for the first year of the recession in 2001 confirm that the number of Ohio's communities where incomes are growing have dropped sharply. In fact, with Ohio in recession, 81% of Ohio's school districts saw the income of their average taxpayer fall in 2001.

Ohio Districts with One Year Gain in Real Mean Income of a Tax Return 1987-2001, # of Districts and % of All 612 School Districts Source: Ohio Department of Taxation and CEOGC

YEAR	# OF DISTRICTS WITH INCOME GAIN	% OF DISTRICTS WITH INCOME GAIN
1987	421	69%
1988	265	43%
1989	111	18%
1990	69	11%
1991	83	14%
1992	411	67%
1993	242	40%
1994	473	77%
1995	424	69%
1996	435	71%
1997	574	94%
1998	561	92%
1999	414	68%
2000	329	54%
2001	119	19%

Unfortunately, income growth was not evenly distributed across Ohio even during favorable economic times. Over time, average incomes have soared in a spectacular fashion in Ohio's most affluent districts, while incomes simultaneously fell in many low income Ohio districts. This pattern is clearly visible in Ohio's 15 richest school districts, all of whom enjoyed very substantial income gains during the last 15 years. Among a huge majority Ohio's 15 lowest income districts, the economic position of the average taxpayer fell at the same time that incomes were rising rapidly in the affluent communities. The pattern was repeated on a widespread basis across all 612 Ohio school districts, with robust and healthy income gains at the top, modest income gains or stagnation in the middle, and moderate income growth or losses at the bottom. As a result of these trends, income inequality has increased sharply across the state.

Ohio's 30 most affluent school districts experienced specacular income growth during the last fifteen years. All thirty districts where the average taxpayer makes more than

\$68,800 experienced income gains during the last 15 years. Incomes more than tripled in Franklin County's Plain Local (New Albany) school district. Incomes rose during the last 15 years by double digit rates in 27 of the thirty richest school districts in the state of Ohio. Among all taxpayers, incomes rose by only 6% during this period.

15 Year Trend in Real Mean Income of a Tax Return, 1986-2001 30 Richest Ohio School Districts; 2001 dollars, CPI Deflator Showing 2001 Mean Income Rank among 612 Ohio School Districts Ranked by Largest 1986-2001 Mean Income Increase Source: Ohio Department of Taxation and CEOGC

	RANK OF					86-01 %
<u>#</u>	612	COUNTY	SCHOOL DISTRICT	<u>1986</u>	<u>2001</u>	INCR
1	3	FRANKLIN	PLAIN LOCAL	\$44,363	\$151,534	241.6%
2	1	HAMILTON	INDIAN HILL	\$130,135	\$216,263	66.2%
3	12	DELAWARE	OLENTANGY LOCAL	\$60,427	\$95,245	57.6%
4	15	LICKING	GRANVILLE	\$55,796	\$85,268	52.8%
5	2	CUYAHOGA	ORANGE	\$117,544	\$176,511	50.2%
6	17	LAKE	KIRTLAND LOCAL	\$55,161	\$82,479	49.5%
7	6	CUYAHOGA	CHAGRIN FALLS	\$75,051	\$109,477	45.9%
8	10	SUMMIT	REVERE LOCAL	\$66,066	\$96,154	45.5%
9	25	WARREN	SPRINGBORO	\$53,155	\$75,525	42.1%
10	28	HAMILTON	LOVELAND	\$49,536	\$70,347	42.0%
11	18	GEAUGA	KENSTON LOCAL	\$58,201	\$80,198	37.8%
12	24	HAMILTON	FOREST HILLS LOCAL	\$55,231	\$76,013	37.6%
13	7	SUMMIT	HUDSON LOCAL	\$75,244	\$103,305	37.3%
14	23	CUYAHOGA	SOLON	\$57,370	\$76,035	32.5%
15	26	HAMILTON	MARIEMONT	\$55,316	\$73,167	32.3%
16	9	FRANKLIN	UPPER ARLINGTON	\$73,373	\$96,533	31.6%
17	27	GEAUGA	WEST GEAUGA LOCAL	\$54,907	\$72,219	31.5%
18	16	HAMILTON	SYCAMORE	\$64,357	\$84,317	31.0%
19	5	FRANKLIN	BEXLEY	\$93,180	\$121,037	29.9%
20	11	MONTGOMERY	OAKWOOD	\$77,062	\$96,005	24.6%
21	13	HAMILTON	WYOMING	\$78,346	\$94,988	21.2%
22	22	CUYAHOGA	WESTLAKE	\$63,618	\$76,357	20.0%
23	21	CUYAHOGA	BAY VILLAGE	\$63,726	\$76,400	19.9%
24	19	FRANKLIN	DUBLIN LOCAL	\$66,737	\$79,502	19.1%
25	29	CUYAHOGA	ROCKY RIVER	\$59,094	\$69,614	17.8%
26	20	PORTAGE	AURORA	\$67,613	\$77,995	15.4%
27	8	CUYAHOGA	SHAKER HEIGHTS	\$88,965	\$100,695	13.2%
28	14	CUYAHOGA	BEACHWOOD	\$84,010	\$91,434	8.8%
29	4	LUCAS	OTTAWA HILLS LOCAL	\$131,863	\$143,123	8.5%
30	30	MONTGOMERY	CENTERVILLE	\$64,292	\$68,836	7.1%
		OHIO TOTAL		\$40,212	\$42,602	5.9%

During the same period of time when incomes were soaring in Ohio's affluent school districts, incomes fell in a large majority of Ohio's low income school districts. During the last fifteen years, between 1986 and 2001, the income of an average taxpayer *fell* in

24 of Ohio's 30 lowest income communities. The average taxpayer makes less than \$29,344 per year in each of these thirty low income communities. While incomes were more than tripling in the New Albany school district in Franklin County, they simultaneously fell by -11.5% in the Harrison Hills district of Harrison County. For a decade and a half, the rich have gotten richer while the poor got poorer in Ohio.

15 Year Trend in Real Mean Income of a Tax Return, 1986-2001 30 Poorest Ohio School Districts; 2001 dollars, CPI Deflator Showing 2001 Mean Income Rank among 612 Ohio School Districts Ranked by Largest 1986-2001 Mean Income Increase Source: Ohio Department of Taxation and CEOGC

	RANK	X				86-01
<u>#</u>	OF 612	COUNTY	SCHOOL DISTRICT	1986	2001	% INCR
<u></u>	<u> </u>	0001(11	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2001	11,011
1	607	PIKE	WESTERN LOCAL	\$23,884	\$27,019	13.1%
2	602	LAWRENCE	SYMMES VALLEY LOCAL	\$26,862	\$28,004	4.3%
3	593	MAHONING	STRUTHERS	\$28,077	\$28,786	2.5%
4	587	ADAMS	OHIO VALLEY LOCAL	\$28,527	\$29,238	2.5%
5	591	KNOX	DANVILLE LOCAL	\$28,339	\$28,935	2.1%
6	589	GUERNSEY	ROLLING HILLS LOCAL	\$28,808	\$29,006	0.7%
7	596	PERRY	SOUTHERN LOCAL	\$28,690	\$28,555	-0.5%
8	595	HIGHLAND	GREENFIELD	\$29,041	\$28,624	-1.4%
9	592	GUERNSEY	EAST GUERNSEY LOCAL	\$29,460	\$28,902	-1.9%
10	605	TUSCARAWAS	CLAYMONT	\$27,983	\$27,424	-2.0%
11	600	BELMONT	BELLAIRE	\$29,004	\$28,204	-2.8%
12	603	LAWRENCE	ROCK HILL LOCAL	\$28,525	\$27,711	-2.9%
13	598	BELMONT	BRIDGEPORT	\$29,279	\$28,270	-3.4%
14	604	TUSCARAWAS	NEWCOMERSTOWN	\$28,917	\$27,673	-4.3%
15	588	WASHINGTON	FRONTIER LOCAL	\$30,602	\$29,048	-5.1%
16	590	SCIOTO	BLOOM LOCAL	\$30,573	\$28,965	-5.3%
17	610	ATHENS	TRIMBLE LOCAL	\$27,293	\$25,827	-5.4%
18	583	MORGAN	MORGAN LOCAL	\$31,013	\$29,343	-5.4%
19	586	BELMONT	BARNESVILLE	\$31,105	\$29,254	-6.0%
20	609	ATHENS	NELSONVILLE-YORK	\$27,739	\$25,989	-6.3%
21	612	SCIOTO	NEW BOSTON LOCAL	\$25,028	\$23,408	-6.5%
22	601	STARK	CANTON	\$30,103	\$28,117	-6.6%
23	597	COLUMBIANA	WELLSVILLE	\$30,458	\$28,306	-7.1%
24	584	PORTAGE	WINDHAM	\$31,497	\$29,262	-7.1%
25	599	PERRY	CROOKSVILLE	\$30,691	\$28,245	-8.0%
26	594	NOBLE	CALDWELL	\$31,512	\$28,701	-8.9%
27	606	CUYAHOGA	CLEVELAND	\$29,935	\$27,250	-9.0%
28	611	MAHONING	YOUNGSTOWN	\$28,626	\$25,823	-9.8%
29	608	CUYAHOGA	EAST CLEVELAND	\$29,430	\$26,331	-10.5%
30	585	HARRISON	HARRISON HILLS	\$33,071	\$29,260	-11.5%
		OHIO TOTAL		\$40,212	\$42,602	5.9%

The problem of income losses even during positive business cycles has been particularly acute in Ohio's urban areas, where income losses during the last 14 years have been much

more common than income gains. Among Ohio's 18 urban school districts only Cincinnati saw income growth both during the late 1980's and the 1990's. The 1990's growth in Columbus was near zero, in contrast to much faster growth figures in suburban Franklin County. Over the 1986-2001 period, the income of an average taxpayer *fell* in Columbus. In 11 of Ohio's 18 large cities, average incomes actually *fell* both during the late 1980's and the years since 1990, regardless of business cycle conditions. Youngstown, Cleveland, and Canton now rank among the 12 lowest income communities in the state of Ohio. In Cleveland, incomes fell even as they grew in a spectacular fashion in affluent suburban Cuyahoga County communities where the average taxpayer's income exceeds \$100,000, such as Orange, Chagrin Falls and Shaker Heights. But, Cleveland was joined in its declining taxpayer incomes since 1986 by the inner ring suburban Cuyahoga County communities of Warrensville Heights, East Cleveland, Fairview Park, Euclid, Maple Heights, Bedford, Garfield Heights, Brooklyn, and Berea.

1986-2001 Income Growth in Ohio's 18 Urban School Districts
Real Mean Income of a Tax Return, 2001 Dollars, Consumer Price Index Deflator
Showing Rank in 2001 Income Among Ohio's 612 School Districts
Source: Ohio Department of Taxation and CEOGC

07.01	2001	COHOOL		INCOME	INCOME	INCOME	1000 2001	1007 2001
86-01	RANK	SCHOOL	COLINERY			INCOME		1986-2001
RANK	<u>OF 612</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>	COUNTY	<u>1986</u>	<u>1990</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>% INCR</u>	<u>% INCR</u>
1	164	CINCINNATI	HAMILTON	\$39,422	\$38,383	\$41,991	9.4%	6.5%
2	426	COLUMBUS	FRANKLIN	\$34,706	\$32,706	\$34,275	4.8%	-1.2%
3	366	HAMILTON	BUTLER	\$36,212	\$33,677	\$35,743	6.1%	-1.3%
4	384	ELYRIA	LORAIN	\$37,067	\$34,372	\$35,342	2.8%	-4.7%
5	342	MIDDLETOWN	BUTLER	\$38,422	\$36,547	\$36,382	-0.5%	-5.3%
6	531	ZANESVILLE	MUSKINGUM	\$33,657	\$31,044	\$31,677	2.0%	-5.9%
7	601	CANTON	STARK	\$30,103	\$27,809	\$28,117	1.1%	-6.6%
8	522	STEUBENVILLE	JEFFERSON	\$34,646	\$35,191	\$32,007	-9.0%	-7.6%
9	558	LORAIN	LORAIN	\$33,391	\$31,780	\$30,599	-3.7%	-8.4%
10	606	CLEVELAND	CUYAHOGA	\$29,935	\$27,681	\$27,250	-1.6%	-9.0%
11	569	DAYTON	MONTGOMERY	\$33,018	\$30,340	\$29,865	-1.6%	-9.5%
12	611	YOUNGSTOWN	MAHONING	\$28,626	\$26,405	\$25,823	-2.2%	-9.8%
13	519	SPRINGFIELD	CLARK	\$35,547	\$32,479	\$32,051	-1.3%	-9.8%
14	581	LIMA	ALLEN	\$32,673	\$29,997	\$29,359	-2.1%	-10.1%
15	516	MANSFIELD	RICHLAND	\$35,803	\$33,372	\$32,110	-3.8%	-10.3%
16	451	AKRON	SUMMIT	\$37,730	\$34,369	\$33,831	-1.6%	-10.3%
17	448	TOLEDO	LUCAS	\$37,847	\$33,744	\$33,845	0.3%	-10.6%
18	553	WARREN	TRUMBULL	\$35,546	\$34,088	\$30,823	-9.6%	-13.3%
		OHIO AVERAGI	E	\$39,718	\$38,817	\$42,602	9.8%	7.3%

These income data indicate that progress against poverty through income growth was not successful in many parts of Ohio, even when the economy was expanding. But, when Ohio's economy entered a recession in 2001, the recession immediately ended the pattern of net job creation and earnings increases across the state. Surprisingly, the state's

sharpest income losses from the 2001-2003 recession were experienced in Ohio's most affluent communities. During the recession in Ohio, the rich have gotten poorer and the poor have gotten poorer. Incomes fell during 2001 in 26 of Ohio's 30 most affluent school districts. The steepest income declines took place in affluent northern Ohio communities.

One Year Trend in Real Mean Income of a Tax Return, 2000-2001 30 Richest Ohio School Districts; 2001 dollars, CPI Deflator Showing 2001 Mean Income Rank among 612 Ohio School Districts Ranked by Largest 2000-2001 Mean Income Increase Source: Ohio Department of Taxation and CEOGC

	RANK	K				00-01
<u>#</u>	OF 612	COUNTY	SCHOOL DISTRICT	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	% <u>INCR</u>
<u>#</u>	012	COUNTI	SCHOOL DISTRICT	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	INCK
1	17	LAKE	KIRTLAND LOCAL	\$80,631	\$82,479	2.3%
2	25	WARREN	SPRINGBORO	\$74,170	\$75,525	1.8%
3	15	LICKING	GRANVILLE	\$84,335	\$85,268	1.1%
4	1	HAMILTON	INDIAN HILL	\$214,070	\$216,263	1.0%
5	5	FRANKLIN	BEXLEY	\$121,176	\$121,037	-0.1%
6	21	CUYAHOGA	BAY VILLAGE	\$76,676	\$76,400	-0.4%
7	7	SUMMIT	HUDSON LOCAL	\$105,335	\$103,305	-1.9%
8	19	FRANKLIN	DUBLIN LOCAL	\$82,397	\$79,502	-3.5%
9	24	HAMILTON	FOREST HILLS LOCAL	\$78,888	\$76,013	-3.6%
10	3	FRANKLIN	PLAIN LOCAL	\$157,320	\$151,534	-3.7%
11	28	HAMILTON	LOVELAND	\$73,133	\$70,347	-3.8%
12	12	DELAWARE	OLENTANGY LOCAL	\$99,287	\$95,245	-4.1%
13	23	CUYAHOGA	SOLON	\$79,570	\$76,035	-4.4%
14	22	CUYAHOGA	WESTLAKE	\$80,151	\$76,357	-4.7%
15	20	PORTAGE	AURORA	\$82,470	\$77,995	-5.4%
16	16	HAMILTON	SYCAMORE	\$89,314	\$84,317	-5.6%
17	30	MONTGOMERY	CENTERVILLE	\$72,937	\$68,836	-5.6%
18	27	GEAUGA	WEST GEAUGA LOCAL	\$76,756	\$72,219	-5.9%
19	29	CUYAHOGA	ROCKY RIVER	\$74,157	\$69,614	-6.1%
20	9	FRANKLIN	UPPER ARLINGTON	\$103,007	\$96,533	-6.3%
21	11	MONTGOMERY	OAKWOOD	\$102,484	\$96,005	-6.3%
22	26	HAMILTON	MARIEMONT	\$78,142	\$73,167	-6.4%
23	13	HAMILTON	WYOMING	\$101,996	\$94,988	-6.9%
24	14	CUYAHOGA	BEACHWOOD	\$99,202	\$91,434	-7.8%
25	4	LUCAS	OTTAWA HILLS LOCAL	\$156,082	\$143,123	-8.3%
26	18	GEAUGA	KENSTON LOCAL	\$88,342	\$80,198	-9.2%
27	2	CUYAHOGA	ORANGE	\$197,099	\$176,511	-10.4%
28	8	CUYAHOGA	SHAKER HEIGHTS	\$113,764	\$100,695	-11.5%
29	6	CUYAHOGA	CHAGRIN FALLS	\$124,063	\$109,477	-11.8%
30	10	SUMMIT	REVERE LOCAL	\$116,562	\$96,154	-17.5%
		OHIO TOTAL		\$44,766	\$42,602	-4.8%

Income declines during the 2001-2004 recession were not limited to Ohio's affluent residents. Incomes also fell on a widespread basis in Ohio's low income communities. The income of an average Ohio taxpayer fell during 2001, in both high income and low income communities. On a short term basis, during the recession, the rich are getting poorer and the poor are getting poorer in Ohio. Incomes fell during the first year of the current recession in most of Ohio's 30 lowest income school districts, just as they fell in a large majority of Ohio's 30 highest income school districts.

One Year Trend in Real Mean Income of a Tax Return, 2000-2001 30 Poorest Ohio School Districts; 2001 dollars, CPI Deflator Showing 2001 Mean Income Rank among 612 Ohio School Districts Ranked by Largest 2000-2001 Mean Income Increase Source: Ohio Department of Taxation and CEOGC

	RANK OF	X				00-01 %
<u>#</u>	<u>612</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>	SCHOOL DISTRICT	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>INCR</u>
1	590	SCIOTO	BLOOM LOCAL	\$28,141	\$28,965	2.9%
2	602	LAWRENCE	SYMMES VALLEY LOCAL	\$27,255	\$28,004	2.7%
3	599	PERRY	CROOKSVILLE	\$27,604	\$28,245	2.3%
4	589	GUERNSEY	ROLLING HILLS LOCAL	\$28,459	\$29,006	1.9%
5	603	LAWRENCE	ROCK HILL LOCAL	\$27,282	\$27,711	1.6%
6	600	BELMONT	BELLAIRE	\$27,952	\$28,204	0.9%
7	610	ATHENS	TRIMBLE LOCAL	\$25,655	\$25,827	0.7%
8	586	BELMONT	BARNESVILLE	\$29,166	\$29,254	0.3%
9	612	SCIOTO	NEW BOSTON LOCAL	\$23,341	\$23,408	0.3%
10	595	HIGHLAND	GREENFIELD	\$28,555	\$28,624	0.2%
11	605	TUSCARAWAS	CLAYMONT	\$27,412	\$27,424	0.0%
12	585	HARRISON	HARRISON HILLS	\$29,248	\$29,260	0.0%
13	607	PIKE	WESTERN LOCAL	\$27,114	\$27,019	-0.3%
14	583	MORGAN	MORGAN LOCAL	\$29,621	\$29,343	-0.9%
15	609	ATHENS	NELSONVILLE-YORK	\$26,241	\$25,989	-1.0%
16	587	ADAMS	OHIO VALLEY LOCAL	\$29,583	\$29,238	-1.2%
17	594	NOBLE	CALDWELL	\$29,061	\$28,701	-1.2%
18	598	BELMONT	BRIDGEPORT	\$28,646	\$28,270	-1.3%
19	606	CUYAHOGA	CLEVELAND	\$27,614	\$27,250	-1.3%
20	597	COLUMBIANA	WELLSVILLE	\$28,743	\$28,306	-1.5%
21	601	STARK	CANTON	\$28,587	\$28,117	-1.6%
22	588	WASHINGTON	FRONTIER LOCAL	\$29,544	\$29,048	-1.7%
23	611	MAHONING	YOUNGSTOWN	\$26,277	\$25,823	-1.7%
24	608	CUYAHOGA	EAST CLEVELAND	\$26,842	\$26,331	-1.9%
25	591	KNOX	DANVILLE LOCAL	\$29,558	\$28,935	-2.1%
26	593	MAHONING	STRUTHERS	\$29,578	\$28,786	-2.7%
27	604	TUSCARAWAS	NEWCOMERSTOWN	\$28,466	\$27,673	-2.8%
28	592	GUERNSEY	EAST GUERNSEY LOCAL	\$29,771	\$28,902	-2.9%
29	584	PORTAGE	WINDHAM	\$30,229	\$29,262	-3.2%
30	596	PERRY	SOUTHERN LOCAL	\$30,326	\$28,555	-5.8%
		OHIO TOTAL		\$44,766	\$42,602	-4.8%

Ohio's Current Recession Cuts Jobs, Incomes and Paychecks

Complete count data on jobs and earnings by county have now established that Ohio was in a recession during the entire years of 2001, 2002, and 2003. Much of Ohio, especially northern Ohio, experienced recessionary job losses during the fourth quarter of 2000. 48 of Ohio's 88 counties lost jobs during the second quarter of 2003, still the most recent time period when complete counts of jobs are available (See Tables 3, 4 and 5). By the first quarter of 2001, Ohio lost jobs on a statewide basis, with 75 of its 88 counties losing employment. The state lost 76,958 jobs in 1Q 2002 figures. Employment losses continued during the second quarter of 2001, with 68 of 88 counties losing employment. Statewide, 68,783 jobs were lost relative to 2Q 2000. The recession was firmly in place in Ohio *before* September 11, 2001, but the blow from that conflict deepened the recession that had already gripped the state. Throughout 2001, 2002, and 2003, Ohio lost jobs on a virtually statewide basis. **During the three year period between the second quarters of 2000 and 2003, Ohio lost 233,448 jobs from the recession. Overall, 4.4% of all the jobs in the state of Ohio disappeared.** One out of every 23 Ohio jobs vanished, resulting in staggering levels of lost paychecks on a statewide basis.

During the three year 2000-2003 period, Cuyahoga County alone, the Cleveland area, accounted for 62,403 of the jobs lost in Ohio. Cuyahoga County lost 7.7% of its employment. One out of every 13 Cuyahoga County jobs vanished. Table 5 finds that Cuyahoga County's job losses exceeded those of all other Ohio counties during the recession. Hamilton County, the Cincinnati area, lost 24,514 jobs during the last three years, a -4.4% loss. During the three year period, Franklin County (Columbus) lost 19,003 jobs, a -2.7% growth rate. Although Franklin County entered the recession more slowly than some other parts of the state, it currently remains mired in Ohio's sharp recession. In fact, during the one year periods between both the first and second quarters of 2002 and 2003, Franklin County's job loss exceeded the simultaneous job loss in Cuyahoga County. Obviously, Franklin County is *not* "recession proof," since its labor market is losing jobs during the recession. Other 2000-2003 job losses exceeding 10,000 during the last three years in Ohio took place in Montgomery County (Dayton), Lucas County (Toledo), and Trumbull County (Warren). Six additional counties lost more than 5,000 jobs during the last three years, including Stark (Canton), Mahoning (Youngstown), Summit (Akron), Lake (Mentor), Lorain (Lorain), and Clark (Springfield). Well over 200,000 Ohio workers lost their jobs as the jobs vanished from the recession. Many hundreds of thousands of their family members suddenly confronted sharp income losses and poverty.

Table 3 finds that no Ohio county lost jobs during all 14 quarters of 2000, 2001, 2002, and the first half of 2003. But, 87 of Ohio's 88 counties have had at least some quarters when they have lost employment as a result of the current recession. Delaware County is the only exception to this pattern across the state. Table 3 documents that job losses from the recession have been virtually universal in all areas of Ohio. Table 4 finds that a full one-fourth of all jobs disappeared during the recession in Meigs County. More than a tenth of all local jobs disappeared in nine counties, including Trumbull and Clark.

During most of 2001, official ODJFS figures showed that Ohio's unemployment rate was virtually or literally unchanged from 2000 levels. But, throughout 2001 the state experienced soaring claims for unemployment insurance. For the entire year of 2001, unemployment claims rose 44.5% on a statewide basis in Ohio. This rate of layoffs was more rapid than any year during the 1990's recession. A comparable 1982 figure was a slower rise of 26.5%. The state did not experience unemployment claim increases at the vigorous 2001 pace in any other year since 1980, more than twenty years ago. Ohio's unchanging official unemployment rates failed measure these huge rises in layoffs, clearly a significant data inadequacy. For the entire year of 2001, 785,281 Ohio workers filed new unemployment claims. In 2002, 776,422 Ohio workers filed new claims for unemployment. During 2003 another 762,027 Ohio workers filed new unemplyment claims. Thus, during 2002 and 2003 Ohio experienced its second and third highest levels of new unemployment claims in decades. Only 1980 figures during the severe Ohio recession of 1979-1983 were higher, along with the 2001 figure.

Alarmingly, during January 2004, although the enormous devastation of increasing layoffs in Ohio slowed slightly, weekly layoff levels in Ohio remained at 23,746 in a four week moving average, a figure 16% higher than the pre-recession level of 21,283 that Ohio suffered in mid-January of 1999. Thus, it has been clear that even during 2004, Ohio's labor market remains in recession, as the state continues to lose jobs. This has been true, despite the official end of the United States recession, given increases in the national Gross Domestic Product during the second half of 2003.

2000 Census Finds Sharp Ohio Poverty Declines

The 1990 census found that Ohio's poverty rate was 12.5%, meaning that fully one-eighth of the state's residents lived in poverty. The 2000 census found that Ohio's statewide poverty rate fell sharply to 10.6%, meaning that one-ninth of Ohio's population remains in poverty by these official census measures (see Table 1). This poverty rate decline was highly welcome news. It certainly was associated with growth in jobs and paychecks across Ohio during the 1990's. Poverty rates fell in 86 of Ohio's 88 counties in a comparison of 1990 and 2000 census data, with Lake and Hancock Counties being the only two exceptions.

2000 Census Missed Many Thousands of Poor Children

OACAA's *The State of Poverty in Ohio* reports have shown for the last three years that 406,372 Ohio children were living in a family that was poor *and* were receiving some form of public assistance in April 2000. A comparison of this unduplicated count of all poor children in Ohio's welfare system with the poverty results in the 2000 census finds a variety of discrepancies (see Table 2). The unduplicated count of poor children in the welfare system is certainly a smaller figure than the number of poor children in Ohio, since all counties contain some families who are poor but who do not participate in any public assistance programs.

Nevertheless, Table 2 finds that in 36 Ohio counties the number of poor children on welfare in 1990 exceeded the number of children that were reported as living in poverty by the 2000 census. The largest of these discrepancies was in Cuyahoga County. In Cleveland and its Cuyahoga County suburbs the 2000 census found 67,314 poor children. At the same time, when the census was taken, there were 74,865 poor Cuyahoga County children receiving public assistance. Thus, at least 7,551 poor Cuyahoga County children were not counted by the 2000 census. More than 1,000 children known to be both poor and receiving public assistance were missed by the census in three other counties: Franklin, Summit, and Mahoning. More than half of Ohio's counties had more poor children in their public assistance systems than were detected in the count of poor children in the 2000 census.

It has been known for many years that the US Census Bureau has had severe problems as it attempts to count the entire population of the United States. A substantial undercount of the poor was definitely present in the 1990 census. Litigation and political disputes about the census have worked their way through our court system, the federal administration, and the Congress. Proposals have emerged that would improve the accuracy of the census by adjusting for known undercount errors in census figures. These proposals have been rejected, and the 2000 census figures in Table 1 remain the official measures of poverty in Ohio counties, even though it is known with certainty that these official measures omitted many thousands of poor children.

It should be recognized that Ohio's 14.3% official child poverty rate is lower than the 14.8% percentage of Ohio's children who are both poor and within the state's system of public assistance. All of those children within the state's system have had their incomes verified by paper income documentation that is required in the public assistance intake process, but none of the responses by Ohio citizens to the 2000 census have been verified. Since many poor children do not receive any form of public assistance in Ohio, it is also certain that Ohio's real child poverty rate in 1990 was excess of 15%, not the 14.3% reported by the 2000 census.

In addition, the 233,448 jobs that Ohio has lost during its 2001-2004 recession have caused large increases in poverty across the state. None of this soaring level of Ohio poverty was measured by the 2000 census. The combination of a poverty undercount in the 2000 census and the large poverty increases in Ohio since the census was taken mean that 2000 census figures on poverty and income in Ohio are utterly obsolete.

Welfare Cuts Unrelated to Labor Market Trends

A job with good wages and fringe benefits remains the best way to escape poverty for any household. Welfare was never a realistic alternative for escaping poverty, given the microscopic size of Ohio's cash welfare benefits. In current dollars, a typical Ohio family of three on cash welfare for an entire year received \$10,997 in 1970, placing them at 72% of the poverty level. In 2004 that same family receives \$4,476, a 59% cut in benefit size during three decades that currently places a typical Ohio cash welfare family in severe destitution at only 29% of the poverty level. Any full-time job, even at minimum wage,

provides more than twice as much cash as an Ohio welfare benefit. Cash welfare benefits to low income Ohio families provide no economic incentive to remain on assistance because it is virtually impossible to raise two children in Ohio with an annual income of \$4,476. This microscopic size of cash welfare benefits has been caused by drastic cuts in the size of public assistance benefits in Ohio during the last three decades.

In current dollars, Ohio provided \$913 per month to families on cash public assistance in 1970. The state has slashed those welfare benefits to \$373 per month in 2004. This -63% cut in the size of public assistance payments in Ohio contrasts sharply with the 6% increase in the income of an average Ohio taxpayer just during the last 15 years. As the income of Ohio taxpayers rose, the income of Ohio welfare recipients fell drastically as a result of these cuts in the size of welfare benefits in the state. This welfare reform process started back in the 1970's, when Ohio decided to begin drastic cuts in the size of welfare benefits.

Ohio's implementation of welfare reform policies since 2000 has been another signficant factor impacting low income people in the state. Along with the rest of the United States, Ohio's cash welfare caseloads in the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families program have declined sharply during the period of welfare reform. The philosophy of the program, moving low income people from welfare to work, has enjoyed extraordinarily broad support across the state and country. Ohio's version of TANF included a short three year lifetime time limit for cash welfare recipients, a significantly stiffer requirement than was legislated by the Federal Government.

The recessionary conditions in Ohio's local labor markets have been inconsistent with the slogan of welfare reform, "moving people from welfare to work." Instead, Ohio has been moving people from welfare to "not welfare," whether or not they find work. No Ohio county checks to verify that all of its families who leave cash welfare have acquired a job to support their families. Further, no Ohio county verifies that all of its families who leave cash welfare caseload have incomes that are above the poverty level.

When Ohio implemented its version of the federal Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) program, the state indicated repeatedly that it would design local public assistance plans to reflect realities of local labor markets. Ohio did not follow through with these admirable intentions. Instead, as labor markets rapidly deteriorated across Ohio in 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004, Ohio's counties continued to terminate families from TANF cash welfare assistance. This was done with no consideration to the condition of local labor markets, which were losing jobs on a virtually statewide basis.

OHIO PUBLIC ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS 1970-2003
AFDC AND OWF CASH WELFARE PAYMENTS
MAXIMUM MONTHLY BENEFIT FOR A FAMILY OF THREE
2003 DOLLARS, CONSUMER PRICE INDEX DEFLATOR
(Source: CEOGC AND ODJFS)

<u>YEAR</u>	REAL MAXIMUM MONTHLY AFDC \$ BENEFIT	REAL MAXIMUM ANNUAL AFDC <u>BENEFIT</u>	% INCREASE SINCE <u>1970</u>	FAMILY OF 3 % OF POVERTY
1970	\$913	\$10,962	0.0%	72%
1971	\$807	\$9,681	-11.7%	63%
1972	\$782	\$9,380	-14.4%	61%
1973	\$740	\$8,881	-19.0%	58%
1974	\$689	\$8,268	-24.6%	54%
1975	\$700	\$8,400	-23.4%	55%
1976	\$662	\$7,942	-27.5%	52%
1977	\$656	\$7,872	-28.2%	52%
1978	\$665	\$7,984	-27.2%	52%
1979	\$669	\$8,025	-26.8%	53%
1980	\$589	\$7,070	-35.5%	46%
1981	\$534	\$6,409	-41.5%	42%
1982	\$503	\$6,037	-44.9%	40%
1983	\$487	\$5,849	-46.6%	38%
1984	\$490	\$5,884	-46.3%	39%
1985	\$498	\$5,970	-45.5%	39%
1986	\$509	\$6,104	-44.3%	40%
1987	\$502	\$6,026	-45.0%	39%
1988	\$482	\$5,786	-47.2%	38%
1989	\$478	\$5,735	-47.7%	38%
1990	\$472	\$5,661	-48.4%	37%
1991	\$451	\$5,416	-50.6%	35%
1992	\$439	\$5,274	-51.9%	35%
1993	\$436	\$5,228	-52.3%	34%
1994	\$425	\$5,097	-53.5%	33%
1995	\$413	\$4,957	-54.8%	32%
1996	\$401	\$4,814	-56.1%	32%
1997	\$392	\$4,706	-57.1%	31%
1998	\$386	\$4,634	-57.7%	30%
1999	\$378	\$4,534	-58.6%	30%
2000	\$366	\$4,387	-60.0%	29%
2001	\$355	\$4,265	-61.1%	28%
2002	\$350	\$4,199	-61.7%	28%

Much is now known about the nature of welfare caseload declines in Ohio as a result of the welfare reform experiment. There has been virtually no relationship between welfare caseload declines and trends in the job market within Ohio counties. Welfare caseloads

-62.7%

27%

\$4,092

2003

\$341

have continually declined, even after the onset of the sharp recession in Ohio. Table 6 documents that 86 of Ohio's 88 counties have had quarters during 2001, 2002, and 2003 when their welfare caseload declines exceeded the total growth of jobs within the county. Delaware and Knox Counties were the only exception to this pattern. Families left cash welfare when local labor markets were creating job growth, and they also left welfare when local economies were losing jobs in large numbers.

The worst example of this unanticipated problem was in Cuyahoga County. During all ten quarters during the 2001-2003 period for which data are available, the size of cash welfare caseload declines exceeded local Cuyahoga County job growth by more than 18,000 families. The Cuyahoga County cash welfare caseload continued is trend of sharp declines as the recession deepened, even while the county lost tens of thousands of jobs.

During prior recessions, it was inevitable that welfare caseload figures would rise. Recessions create job losses, and cash welfare payments became part of the safety net that sustained household income maintenance during periods of economic shrinkage. The data in Table 6 indicate that this pattern has been destroyed. There is no longer any relationship between trends in jobs and trends in cash welfare caseloads in the state of Ohio and its counties.

In 2004, the state of poverty in Ohio is steadily deteriorating. The sharp 2001-2004 recession, in combination with the longer term trend of growing income inequality across Ohio is causing serious hardship and real human suffering for many hundreds of thousands of Ohio's low income families.

Making Sure that Work Pays

Since 1938 the United States federal government has mandated a minimum level of hourly compensation that must be paid to USA workers. That wage level has been cut on a continual basis for three decades.

When the federal minimum wage was initially created in 1938, its value was 25 cents per hour. In current inflation-adjusted dollars, that wage was \$3.28 per hour in 1938. The value of the minimum wage was "raised" from time to time since then. At first, the "raises" kept pace with inflation, and even exceeded inflation for the most part during the 1950's and 1960's. The maximum real value of the federal minimum wage was reached in 1968. In that year, the \$8.51 value of the minimum wage generated \$16,794 to a full time all year worker with a job paying the minimum wage. This 1968 level of earnings from a minimum wage job was sufficient to move a typical family of three aboue the poverty level to 116% of poverty. With the exceptions of 1960, 1961, 1962, and 1966, a full time all year minimum wage job lifted a typical American family out of poverty during all years of the 1960's.

History of USA Federal Minimum Wage, 2003 Dollars, CPI Deflator Including Earnings of a Full Time Year Round Minimum Wage Job And % of Family of Three Poverty at Minimum Wage Earnings (Source: CEOGC)

Source: CEO	GČ)			
		REAL MINIMUM	REAL %	FAMILY
	REAL	WAGE AT	INCREASE	OF 3 %
	MINIMUM	2080 HOURS	SINCE	OF
YEAR	WAGE	EARNINGS	<u>1968</u>	POVERTY
1938	\$3.27	\$6,807.94	-61.4%	45%
1939	\$3.98	\$8,287.08	-53.1%	54%
1940 1941	\$3.96 \$3.77	\$8,227.89 \$7,836.08	-53.4% -55.6%	54% 51%
1941	\$3.40	\$7,066.90	-60.0%	46%
1942	\$3.40	\$6,658.40	-62.3%	44%
1944	\$3.15	\$6,544.91	-62.9%	43%
1945	\$4.10	\$8,532.62	-51.7%	56%
1946	\$3.79	\$7,876.27	-55.4%	52%
1947	\$3.31	\$6,887.32	-61.0%	45%
1948	\$3.06	\$6,372.91	-63.9%	42%
1949	\$3.10	\$6,453.24	-63.4%	42%
1950	\$5.74	\$11,949.21	-32.3%	78%
1951	\$5.33	\$11,076.00	-37.3%	73%
1952	\$5.22	\$10,867.02	-38.4%	71%
1953	\$5.19	\$10,785.62	-38.9%	71%
1954	\$5.15	\$10,705.43	-39.4%	70%
1955	\$5.17	\$10,745.37	-39.1%	70%
1956	\$6.79	\$14,116.47	-20.0%	93% 90%
1957 1958	\$6.57 \$6.39	\$13,664.34 \$13,286.00	-22.6% -24.7%	90% 87%
1958	\$6.34	\$13,286.09 \$13,194.78	-24.7% -25.3%	86%
1960	\$6.24	\$12,971.89	-26.5%	85%
1961	\$7.10	\$14,768.00	-16.3%	97%
1962	\$7.03	\$14,621.30	-17.2%	96%
1963	\$7.54	\$15,684.97	-11.2%	103%
1964	\$7.44	\$15,482.58	-12.3%	101%
1965	\$7.33	\$15,236.83	-13.7%	100%
1966	\$7.12	\$14,813.58	-16.1%	97%
1967	\$7.74	\$16,094.47	-8.8%	105%
1968	\$8.49	\$17,653.70	0.0%	116%
1969	\$8.05	\$16,739.75	-5.2%	110%
1970	\$7.61	\$15,833.73	-10.3%	104%
1971	\$7.29	\$15,169.11	-14.1%	99%
1972	\$7.07	\$14,697.34	-16.7% -21.6%	96% 91%
1973 1974	\$6.65 \$7.49	\$13,836.68 \$15,576.80	-21.0% -11.8%	102%
1974	\$7.49	\$14,987.60	-15.1%	98%
1976	\$7.46	\$15,520.67	-12.1%	102%
1977	\$7.02	\$14,597.13	-17.3%	96%
1978	\$7.50	\$15,606.06	-11.6%	102%
1979	\$7.37	\$15,337.56	-13.1%	101%
1980	\$6.94	\$14,445.40	-18.2%	95%
1981	\$6.80	\$14,150.64	-19.8%	93%
1982	\$6.41	\$13,329.46	-24.5%	87%
1983	\$6.21	\$12,914.59	-26.8%	85%
1984	\$5.95	\$12,380.10	-29.9%	81%
1985	\$5.75	\$11,954.39	-32.3%	78%
1986	\$5.64 \$5.44	\$11,736.25	-33.5%	77%
1987	\$5.44 \$5.22	\$11,323.00	-35.9% 28.40/	74% 71%
1988 1989	\$5.23 \$4.99	\$10,873.14 \$10,373.33	-38.4% -41.2%	68%
1990	\$5.37	\$10,373.33	-36.8%	73%
1991	\$5.76	\$11,981.38	-32.1%	79%
1992	\$5.59	\$11,631.25	-34.1%	76%
1993	\$5.43	\$11,293.18	-36.0%	74%
1994	\$5.29	\$11,011.23	-37.6%	72%
1995	\$5.15	\$10,707.77	-39.3%	70%
1996	\$5.59	\$11,624.27	-34.2%	76%
1997	\$5.92	\$12,320.47	-30.2%	81%
1998	\$5.85	\$12,168.83	-31.1%	80%
1999	\$5.71	\$11,869.36	-32.8%	78%
2000	\$5.52	\$11,483.36	-35.0%	75%
2001	\$5.37	\$11,165.64	-36.8%	73%
2002	\$5.28 \$5.15	\$10,991.86	-37.7%	72%
2003	\$5.15	\$10,712.00	-39.3%	70%

Since 1968, very irregular "increases" in the nominal value of the federal minimum wage have been insufficient to keep up with inflation. As a result, the real value of the Federal minimum wage has been cut by 39.5% since 1968. A worker from a typical family of three who currently holds a full-time all year minimum wage job receives earnings that bring the worker's family up to only 70% of the poverty level. The \$10,712 generated by full time all year minimum wage jobs remains substantially below the \$15,260 poverty level for a family of three. During all years of the 1980's and the 1990's, a minimum wage job generated insufficient earnings to lift a typical family out of poverty. This remains true in 2004. It is the policy of the United States of America that a minimum wage job should not lift a family out of poverty. This was not the policy of this country during the 1960's, but it is a policy that is in effect today.

A combination of the current economic recession in Ohio and our policies toward low income families has resulted in an enormous level of human suffering across the state. Ohio has lost over 233,000 jobs. At the same time, our state and nation cut the value of the minimum wage, so that minimum wage jobs will not lift typical families out of poverty. The state of Ohio also terminated many tens of thousands of low income families from cash welfare benefits, on the theory that individuals in those families would instead find work, even as Ohio lost over 233,000 jobs. Ohio, previously a national leader in the Head Start program, decided to cut its funding for comprehensive Head Start child development for poor children from 18,000 children to 11,600 children. As a result of this pattern of massive job and paycheck losses in Ohio and a series of large cuts in Ohio's programs that assist low income people, hundreds of thousands of Ohio households have been struggling. They were joined by many hundreds of thousands of high income families, whose incomes also declined as a result of the recession.

This difficult combination of very unfavorable circumstances has generated intolerable levels of human suffering in the state of Ohio. The Ohio Association of Community Action Agencies very strongly recommends that Ohio implement a variety of locally based strategies to reduce this suffering among the people of Ohio.

Recommendations

Ohio should quickly implement a variety of public policy responses to currently intolerable and rising levels of human suffering among Ohio's poor. These emergency assistance measures should not be controversial, since a documented need for urgent help is clearly established.

- * During the current economic emergency that has been caused by the ongoing and still lingering recession in Ohio, income assistance to families of workers who have lost their jobs and paychecks must become a top priority of public policy.
- * Ohio should establish an earned income tax credit on Ohio state income tax returns. This credit encourages work, not dependence, and it would provide urgently needed cash for Ohio's poorest workers and families. The very successful federal EITC can be a model for this program.

- * The United States and the state of Ohio should quit cutting the minimum wage for jobs in our state. Current policies do not encourage work, since the federal minimum wage has been cut from 116% of poverty in 1968 to its current level of 70% of poverty. Workers who hold a job on a full time all year basis should not be mired in poverty.
- * Historically, Ohio has been the national leader in its support for the Head Start program. The state should continue to fund the diverse set of services to low income children and their families that Head Start provides. These include a preschool child development curriculum, nutrition, medical and dental services, and a strong empowerment role of Head Start parents in the operation of the program We should not cut these desperately needed services to poor children during a recession, or at any other time.
- * Ohio should provide greater incentives to the corporations in this state who assist nonprofit organizations that serve Ohio's poor, its children, and its unemployed. Tax incentives and credits can encourage financial contributions by organizations who drive our economy to the most needy families of our state.
- * Given the hundreds of thousands of jobs that have been lost in Ohio, the state should greatly increase its level of proactive action to prevent unemployment of its workers. This should include significant increases in the state's efforts to retrain its workers for new jobs that may replace the massive number of jobs that have disappeared, especially in light of the very large numbers of high wage blue collar jobs that have already been lost in Ohio.

Data Sources and Definitions

Mean Income- Figures on mean income of a taxpayer are calculated as the total adjusted gross income on Ohio state income tax returns divided by the total number of returns. School districts are defined based on the residence of taxpayers. All AGI figures are in 2001 dollars, adjusted for inflation by the Consumer Price Index. Raw data are from the Ohio Department of Taxation.

Unemployment Claims- New claims for unemployment, the RS-236.C-W series for Ohio counties, are based on the residence of workers filing new claims. Raw data are from the Ohio Department of Jobs and Family Services.

"Welfare" Poor Children- Data on poor children who are both on welfare and who are living below the federal poverty level in Ohio counties are from an valuable and unique unduplicated count of Ohio's CRIS-E public assistance database. This database contains recipients of Ohio Works First (OWF/TANF) cash welfare, disability assistance, food stamps, and all forms of Medicaid medical insurance. The recipients are identified by age and by income status below 100% of the official poverty standard. The counts measure only those poor children in CRIS-E, so they do not include other poor children who

receive no form of public assistance. Percentages of poor children are calculated by dividing the unduplicated number of poor children on public assistance by the total number of children who live in a poor household where poverty status was determined by the 2000 census. Data are based upon the residence of public assistance recipients. Raw data are from the Ohio Department of Jobs and Family Services, with the 2000 census figure from the US Census Bureau.

Census Poverty Undercount- The "poor children missed" figures in Table 2 of this report are the difference between the unduplicated "Welfare" Poor Children figure in the unduplicated CRIS-E public assistance count of poor children and the number of children aged 0-17 who were counted as poor in the 2000 census. The actual undercount of poor children in the 2000 census certainly exceeds this figure, since not all poor children participate in public assistance programs.

Jobs - Data on employment in Ohio are from the quarterly ES-202 series. This database provides a complete count of jobs in Ohio by industry within all Ohio counties. The count is not a survey. Job loss data for the recession are calculated as the difference between Ohio jobs during the second quarter of 2000 and the second quarter of 2003. Data are based on the location of jobs, regardless of where workers who hold the jobs live. Raw data are from the Ohio Department of Jobs and Family Services.

OWF Cash Welfare Household Reductions Exceeding Job Growth- Table 6 figures are a quarterly comparison in Ohio counties between the number of families (assistance groups) cut from the OWF/TANF caseload in Ohio and the number of jobs generated during the same one year period. Counties where job gains exceeded welfare caseload cuts are reported as zero in this statistic.

Census Poverty Rate- Census poverty rates are calculated as the number of persons poor divided by the total population for whom poverty status was determined by the 2000 census. The "persons for whom poverty status was determined" figure is smaller than the total population of jurisdictions, since it excludes persons in instituional housing, such as prisons and college dormitories, who are otherwise counted in the census but who are excluded from poverty calculations.

TABLE 1: 1970, 1980, 1990, 2000 Census Poverty Rates in Ohio Counties Persons for Whom Poverty Status is Determined and Poor Person: Not Adjusted for Census Poverty Undercount Source: US Census Bureau and CEOGC

POV RATE <u>2000</u>	27 27 28 28 29 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	10.5% 10.3% 10.1% 10.1% 9.9%
POV RATE 1990	28.7% 25.6%% 25.8%% 25.8%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%	11.5% 11.6% 11.4% 12.5% 16.2%
POV RATE 1980	21.6% 16.7% 16.7% 15.2% 20.6% 24.7% 10.2% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.3% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 13.0% 13.0% 11.0%	9.4% 10.4% 8.1% 11.6% 14.4%
POV RATE 1970	20.0% 20.5% 20.5% 20.5% 20.5% 20.5% 20.5% 14.7% 10.7% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.3%	9.1% 9.2% 7.0% 10.7% 16.1% 8.3%
TOTAL WHOM 2000	53,844 12,643 22,768 75,683 61,639 27,226 14,614 30,069 32,103 32,103 40,179 71,820 66,997 14,995 14,995 14,995 13,65,658 81,903 37,930 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 100,870 67,870 100,870 67,870 100	122,277 46,296 220,572 50,963 27,822 533,162
TOTAL WHOM 1990	51,002 10,937 22,665 76,736 61,007 23,830 13,924 29,824 25,028 29,874 38,112 78,510 69,952 15,208 29,111 1,388,547 80,009 31,888,547 80,009 31,88,547 104,543 97,541 63,449 846,909 35,142 111,176 561,952 11,176 561,952	122,328 47,189 225,230 44,269 26,886 506,100
TOTAL WHOM 1980	48,407 11,385 23,504 81,933 63,222 22,348 14,022 28,837 24,148 30,312 40,921 90,382 82,073 17,293 463,903 24,046 17,293 463,903 24,046 17,293 17,293 17,293 17,293 17,293 10,382 102,578 61,763 855,001 33,143 30,823 31,564 841,506 112,775 25,219 62,660 11,176 560,877 14,775 11,176 560,877	127,833 49,448 239,936 43,189 27,035 517,227
TOTAL WHOM 1970	45,120 9,285 19,749 76,678 56,396 18,758 12,177 22,763 18,835 26,931 35,429 95,458 80,555 15,674 476,918 20,176 16,775 29,214 1,698,455 76,23 22,371 302,076 109,023 96,771 58,272 28,834 27,141 26,463 799,925 107,430 21,159 55,555 107,407 592,110	127,099 49,717 231,971 39,579 25,112 548,663
# POOR 2000	14,728 2,529 4,506 11,645 11,645 11,645 5,454 4,687 6,2,026 9,768 2,085 62,026 9,768 179,372 10,862 179,372 10,862 179,372 10,862 179,372 10,565 4,884 31,328 12,374 12,162 8,120 97,692 4,760 3,970 4,84 112,162 8,120 97,692 12,374 12,374 12,374 12,374 12,374 12,374 12,486 12,	12,941 4,831 22,788 5,159 2,810 52,991
# POOR <u>1990</u>	14,624 2,582 5,895 19,792 14,361 6,707 7,140 7,226 6,659 13,464 12,185 3,283 69,374 4,769 191,149 11,262 11,262 11,262 11,262 11,262 11,263 11	13,764 5,470 25,687 5,512 4,361 61,491
# POOR <u>1980</u>	10,440 2,901 3,928 14,632 9,607 4,605 5,966 5,966 5,154 0,232 1,640 2,326 5,154 0,232 1,653 1,965 1,349 1,210 96,546 4,745 10,789 1,452 6,122 1,452 6,122 1,452 6,122 1,452 6,122 1,452 6,122 1,452 6,122 1,452	5,156 19,450 5,016 3,891 48,695
# POOR <u>1970</u>	9,024 2,182 4,720 11,392 5,271 2,411 2,811 10,214 10,214 10,311 2,837 46,738 3,672 2,902 4,614 4,634 4,614 4,684 85,592 10,743 10,743 2,352 4,614 4,684 4,614 4,684 4,614 4,684 4,614 4,684 4,614 4,614 10,743 10,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743 110,743	11,506 4,574 16,238 4,235 4,043 45,539
LARGEST <u>CITY</u>	Athens McArthur Middleport Portsmouth Ironton Waverly McComelsville Gallipolis West Union Jackson Cambridge Steubenville Martins Ferry Woodsfield Toledo Logan Cadiz Kenton Cleveland Zanesville Millersburg Youngstown Lima Ashtabula Chillicothe Cincinnati Hillsboro New Lexington Georgetown Columbus East Liverpool Carrolton Marietta Caldwell Dayton Springfield	Mansheld Bucyrus Warren Mount Vernon Washington CH Akron
K COUNTY		Kichland Crawford Trumbull Knox Fayette Summit
RANK	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38 38 39 40 41 42

$\frac{\text{POV}}{2000}$	9.7% 9.6% 9.5%	9.4% %8.6	9.3% 9.3%	9.2%	9.1% 9.0%	%0.6	8.7% 8.7%	8.6%	8.5%	% % % % %	8.0%	8.0%	7.8%	7.6%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.0%	6.7%	6.7%	6.4% %,0%	6.1%	%0.9	5.9%	5.6%	5.6%	5.5%	5.5%	5.4%	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%	4.2% 3.8%	10.6%
POV RATE 1990	12.7% 10.6% 12.1%	11.1%	11.9%	11.1%	13.2%	11.5%	10.8%	12.3%	9.5%	9.5% 9.0%	11.7%	%0.6	8.4% 0.8%	% % % %	10.5%	%0.6	7.3%	%0.7 7.0%	7.7%	8.4%	6.7% 6.3%	10.2%	7.6%	%9·9	% % % %	5.8%	8.5%	7.1%	6.2%	5.5%	7.4%	5.6%	6.4% 5.7%	12.6%
POV RATE 1980	10.7% 10.0% 10.9%	8.8% 9.0%	9.4% 11.1%	8.5%	10.2%	8.4%	%.2% 6.8%	11.2%	7.5%	%6.7 %0.8	8.9%	%0.6	9.7%	%6.6 6.0%	8.1%	7.5%	6.5%	5.7%	8.2%	7.5%	7.4% %5.8%	9.2%	7.9%	6.1%	7.5%	6.7%	10.2%	6.7%	8.1%	4.4 %4.4 %	8.4%	4.7%	7.3%	10.3%
POV RATE 1970	9.1% 9.1% 13.6%	8.4% 11.0%	9.7% 12.7%	7.8%	14.4% 10.8%	7.5%	8.7% 9.2%	13.2%	8.5%	7.4% 7.9%	8.6%	9.7%	11.2%	%0.6	10.9%	8.8%	7.2%	7.9%	9.5%	8.0%	7.7%	7.7%	9.5%	8.6%	8.7% 8.7%	9.5%	10.3%	10.4%	6.2%	5.2%	9.1%	5.9%	7.2%	10.0%
TOTAL WHOM 2,000	61,415 113,406 46,174	50,238 89,481	144,317 45,208	368,573	36,240	275,784	321.387	39,397	58,652	140,103 77,628	108,474	52,534	35,612	38,096	141,726	60,823	69,451	28.649	46,961	97,256	40,359	41,755	37,996	40,239	38 723	34,353	22,457	29,168	41,597	149,347	38,511	89,980	152,000 107,078	11,048,987
TOTAL WHOM 1,990	61,526 104,553 42,392	45,486 82,852	133,447/ 41,566	359,231	34,833 27,440	265,062	279.692	34,521	55,535	130,134 75 406	98,285	52,557	32,904	35 404	124,678	60,811	64,198	28.491	44,127	92,127	38,961 43,011	39,614	36,499	39,392	38 386	33,390	21,743	30,007	37,995	121,055	30,117	80,419	109,393 63,986	10,576,305
TOTAL WHOM 1,980	65,965 98,832 41,305	83,692	38,680	371,708	35,567	269,995	247.389	33,841	54,134	78.573	93,909	54,162	30,966	33,123	117,866	62,771	63,337	27.879	42,399	89,608	37,916 12,054	37,906	35,969	39,645	39.148	32,619	22,185	30,048	37,428	112,075	28,686	74,019	95,858 50,941	10,569,838
TOTAL WHOM 1,970	63,220 82,725 36,603	41,190 76,709	118,814 34,858	363,692	33,097 21,231	251,480	58,609 218,174	30,682	49,424	75 165	83,407	48,825	26,598	30,300	105,000	60,523	60,500	26.709	37,380	84,113	34,961 38,164	34,494	33,337	37,081	35 931	31,063	21,417	29,019	33,016	82,558	23,286	62,508	83,111 40,154	10,432,824
# POOR <u>2,000</u>	5,963 10,903 4,402	8,405	13,395 4,186	33,865	3,301	24,809	5,140 27,946	3,386	4,998	11,847	8,698	4,212	2,790	2,890	10,602	4,542	5,176	1.992	3,161	6,531	2,5/1	2,514	2,286	2,374	2,004 2,180	1,908	1,241	1,595	2,255	6,849	1,763	4,096	6,425 4,118	1,172,698
# POOR 1,990	7,822 11,054 5,120	5,160 9,215	15,892 4,351	39,733	4,594 3,039	30,459	6,199 29,787	4,229	5,278	12,351 6.776	11,456	4,723	2,773	3 125	13,091	5,471	4,672	1.984	3,418	7,694	2,612	4,036	2,757	2,605	3,362	1,922	1,847	2,128	2,367	6,683	2,238	4,465	6,949 3,630	1,327,758
# POOR 1,980	7,056 9,932 4,490	3,877 7,506	12,228 4,296	31,688	3,615	22,642	5,114 24.324	3,795	4,074	9,827 6,319	8,346	4,850	3,009	3.292	9,521	4,707	4,106 10,382	10,382	3,487	6,755	2,812	3,479	2,826	2,433	2,790	2,190	2,260	2,025	3,019	4.876	2,411	3,481	7,039 3,394	1,090,942
# POOR 1,970	5,753 7,528 4,978	3,460 8,438 1,53	11,525 4,427	28,368	4,766 2,293	18,861	5,099 20.072	4,050	4,201	8,810 5,938	7,173	4,736	2,979	2,233	11,445	5,326	4,356	2.110	3,439	6,729	2,692 2,786	2,785	3,167	3,189	3.126	2,951	2,206	$\frac{3,018}{2,018}$	2,047	4,293	2,119	3,688	5,984 3,132	1,043,318
LARGEST <u>CITY</u>	Marion Bowling Green Circleville	Asniand New Philadelphia	Kent Bellefontaine	Canton	Coshocton Mount Gilead	Lorain	Littin Hamilton	Wilmington	Norwalk	Beavercreek Sandusky	Wooster	Greenville	London	I aurumg Urbana	Newark	Fremont	Findlay	Napoleon	Sidney	Piqua	Celma Saint Marge	Eaton	Bryan	Port Clinton	Defiance	Ottawa	Upper Sandusky	Van Wert	Wauseon	Brunswick	Marysville	Chardon	Franklin Delaware	
RANK COUNTY	Marion Wood Pickaway	Ashland Tuscarawas	Portage Logan	Stark	Coshocton	Lorain	Seneca Butler	Clinton	Huron	Greene Erie	Wayne	Darke	Madison	Champaign	Licking	Sandusky	Hancock	Henry	Shelby	Miami	Mercer	Preble	Williams	Ottawa	Defiance	Putnam	Wyandot	Van Wert	Fulton I eka	Medina	Union	Geauga	Warren Delaware	OHIO TOTAL
RAN	44 45	4 4 7 7	4 4 8 6	50	51	33.	55 55	26	57	% % %	09	61	62	3 4	65	99	/9	9 9	70	71	7 7	. 4 4	75	76 1.5	- X	79	80	81	85 83 83	8 8	85	98	88	

TABLE 2: 1990 and 2000 Child Poverty Rates, Ohio Counties
1990 Census, April 1999 Poor Children Aged 0-17 on Public Assistance, and 2000 Census
Welfare = Unduplicated OWF, DA, Food Stamps, Healthy Start, and Medicaid Below Poverty
All = Children Aged 0-17 for Whom Poverty Is Determined (Total Child Population)
Ranked by % of Poor Children Aged 0-17 on Welfare in Unduplicated April 1999 Count Exceeding 2000 Census
Source: ODJFS, Census Bureau, and CEOGC

POOR

2000 vs. CENSUS % INCR	33.5% 22.0%	20.0% 19.8%	18.9%	18.6%	17.3%	14.8% 13.8%	12.9%	12.8%	11.9%	11.7%	10.7%	10.4%	8.8%	8.5% 7.0%	7.2%	%6.9	%8.9	5.7%	5.0%	4.3% 4.4%	4.3%	4.0%	3.4%	3.3%	2.0%	1.5%	1.3%	0.9%	0.7%	-0.9% -1.6%	-1.5%	-2.7%	-3.0%	-3.9%	-4.1%	-4.1% -5.2%
CHILDREN MISSED 2000 CENSUS	169	523 136	918	276	249	616 123	313	708	79	95 7 55 1	70	1,212	351	166	515.1	214	245	694	8 (377	78/	1,520	106	38 - 8	45		45	m;	00	o c	0 0	0	0	0 0	00	00
APRIL C 2000 WELFARE POV RATE	6.1%	18.3% 8.0%	30.7%	24.9%	31.2%	31.7% 14.4%	24.8%	17.5%	7.4%	8.4% 21.0%	20.6%	21.4%	$\frac{20.1\%}{2.0}$	27.8%	8.1% 8.1%	22.2%	10.3%	14.1%	4.7%	16.3%	25.4%	15.1%	9.2%	16.7%	22.2%	14.5%	22.9%	5.6%	%9.6	20.3% 19.6%	16.4%	13.5%	%9.6	24.4%	15.4%	6.4%
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{APRIL} \\ 2000 \\ \mathbf{WELFARE} \\ \overline{\mathbf{CHILD}} \end{array}$	674	3,141	5,773	1,763	1,692	4,772 1012	2,748	6,239	744 444	906	725	12,812	4,333	2,113	20,083 763	3,334	3,827	12,883	1,435	1,540 8 871	1,883	39,997	3,221	1,1/8	2,335	461	3,575	328	1,510	1,691	4 383	2,138	1,436	897	2,263	1,798 3,491
00 CENSUS AGE 0-17 POV RATE	4.6% 10.7%	15.3%	25.8%	21.0%	26.6%	12.7%	21.9%	15.5%	%9·9	70/2/	18.6%	19.4%	18.5%	25.6%	7.6%	20.7%	%9.6	13.3%	4.5%	15.6%	24.4%	14.6%	8.9%	16.2%	21.8%	14.3%	22.6%	5.5%	9.5%	20.5% 20.0%	%8°97	13.9%	%6.6	25.4%	16.1%	6.8%
$\begin{array}{c} 00 \text{ CENSUS} \\ \text{ALL} \\ \overline{\text{AGE 0-17}} \end{array}$	11,017 9,490	17,118	18,820	7,067	5,419	15,033 7,008	11,101	35,586	10,062	10,787	3.517	59,777	21,549	7,601	9.362	15,038	37,216	91,641	30,413	9,444 53,969	7,409	264,141	34,830	7,058	3,017 10 499	3,172	15,613	5,867	15,777	8,344	26,738	15,849	14,900	3,672	14,658	16,301 54,376
00 CENSUS POOR AGE 0-17	505 1,014	2,618	4,855	1,487	1,443	4,156 889	2,435	5,531	665	814 67.314	655	11,600	3,982	1,947	712	3,120	3,582	12,189	1,367	1,4/3 8.494	1,805	38,477	3,115	1,140	2.290	454	3,530	325	1,500	1,/0/ 23,434	4 483	2,198	1,481	933	2,339	3,681
90 CENSUS AGE 0-17 POV RATE	9.5%	24.9% 11.4%	37.7%	35.0%	35.0%	33.2% 22.0%	29.3%	20.5%	10.7%	71.6%	28.8%	25.0%	21.4%	28.5%	9.5%	25.8%	14.8%	16.4%	6.6%	25.4% 17.0%	36.9%	17.6%	12.1%	20.8%	24.3%	22.7%	26.3%	10.0%	12.0%	30.2% 21.5%	23.2%	18.3%	14.3%	31.3%	18.0%	7.1%
90 CENSUS ALL AGE 0-17	8,555	17,187	21,247	7,292	6,099	16,452	11,983	37,180	10,443	10,885	3.905	64,374	21,651	8,134	9.745	16,677	33,207	91,163	7,817	9,126	6.924	232,341	34,439	6,739	4,032 10,427	3,202	18,811	6,025	17,173	8,292	28 583	17,065	16,742	4,000	15,/3/	53,438
90 CENSUS POOR AGE 0-17	810 1,716	4,2/4 1,258	8,000	2,554	2,133	5,46/	3,506	7,615	1,116	1,094	1.125	16,111	4,624	2,315	22,442 930	4,311	4,913	14,950	1,171	2,517 9.714	2,556	40,980	4,158	1,400	2,536	728	4,938	602	2,066	2,502 25,966	6.637	3,120	2,397	1,253	2,831	3,816
LARGEST CITY	Marysville Coshocton	Chillicothe Defiance	Portsmouth	West Union	Middleport	Ironton Washinoton CH	Athens	Springfield	Bryan	Eaton	Woodsfield	Youngstown	Zanesville	Gallipolis Algren	Port Clinton	Martins Ferry	Newark	Canton	Delaware	New Lexington	Waverly	Columbus	Beavercreek	Logan	Cambridge	Caldwell	Steubenville	Upper Sandusky	Fremont	Jackson Toledo	Fast Livernool	Marion	Tiffin	McConnelsville	Marietta	Norwalk Mentor
COUNTY	Union Coshocton	Koss Defiance	Scioto	Adams	Meigs	Lawrence Favette	Athens	Clark	Williams	Preble	Cuyanoga Monroe	Mahoning	Muskingum	Gallia	Ottawa	Belmont	Licking	Stark	Delaware	Perry Trumbull	Pike	Franklin	Greene	Hocking	Guernsev	Noble	Jefferson	Wyandot	Sandusky	Jackson Lucas	Columbiana	Marion	Seneca	Morgan	Washington	nuron Lake
RANK	- 00	w 4	· v	9	-	× o	10	Ξ	2 5	J 5	15	16	17	<u>~</u> 2	20	21	22	23	47 S	c7 2	27	28	29	30	32	33	34	35	36	% % %	30	40	41	4 2 5	4 <u>4</u> 4	4 4

2000 vs. CENSUS % INCR	6.2% 6.8% 6.9% 6.9% 6.9% 6.9% 6.9% 6.9% 6.9% 6.9	
POOR CHILDREN MISSED 2000 CENSUS	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
APRIL 2000 WELFARE POV RATE	9.5% 15.5% 11.3% 11.3% 11.5% 10.6% 10.6% 10.6% 11.1% 10.3% 1	
APRIL 2000 WELFARE CHILD	3,375 898 898 2,168 19,876 4,527 2,617 7,371 950 950 1,443 1,521 1,443 1,521 1,443 1,521 1,443 1,521 1,443 1,467 1,443 1,467 1,747 620 1,467 1,747 684 1,683 1,398 3,844 600 1,467 1,747 1,777 1,777 1,761 684 1,033 2,350 466 884 1,070 1,761 692 884 886 887 1,070 1,010 684 1,010	
00 CENSUS AGE 0-17 POV RATE	10.2% 15.8% 17.4% 17.4% 17.4% 10.1% 17.5% 10.0%	
00 CENSUS ALL AGE 0-17	35,423 215,113 3,409 19,239 135,317 31,126 22,672 85,189 10,532 7,604 48,836 11,525 11,525 11,938 8,509 11,437 43,409 11,437 43,409 11,437 11,437 11,437 11,938 8,509 11,437 11,925 11,928 11,525 11,928 11,928 11,928 11,928 11,928 11,525 11,928 11,928 11,525 11,928 11,928 11,928 11,525 11,525 11,928 11,928 11,525	
00 CENSUS POOR AGE 0-17	3,598 35,706 965 2,333 21,443 4,947 4,587 2,848 8,033 1,060 4,90 4,458 1,1757 1,667 1,067 1,076 1,035 1,035 1,035 1,035 1,041 1,438 3,301 666 2,567 940 1,438 3,301 666 1,785 1,785 1,200 1,787 2,357 2,357 2,403 2,403	
90 CENSUS AGE 0-17 POV RATE	13.4% 19.6% 31.8% 12.7% 19.5% 14.4% 13.2% 11.7% 10.5% 12.4% 17.9% 17.9% 17.9% 17.9% 17.9% 17.9% 17.1%	
90 CENSUS ALL AGE 0-17	34,503 222,201 3,072 19,908 140,931 32,394 26,685 21,923 75,419 9,683 8,341 11,654 11,654 11,654 11,654 11,654 11,654 11,433 6,065 11,491 27,179 9,687 9,687 11,491 11,433 6,065 11,491 12,141 14,743 16,065 17,491 12,141 14,743 16,065 17,491	
90 CENSUS POOR AGE 0-17	4,617 4,4617 6,3486 9,76 5,7414 5,343 6,356 9,935 1,427 634 634 634 1,905 1,905 1,183 1,386 1,549 1,726 1,726 1,055 1,05	
LARGEST <u>CITY</u>	Kent Cincinnati McArthur Sandusky Dayton Mansfield Ashtabula New Philadelphia Hamilton Van Wert Milford Circleville Bucyrus Lancaster Sidney Urbana Mount Gilead Georgetown Franklin Hillsboro Lima Wauseen Mount Vernon Bellefontaine Paulding Findlay Bowling Green London Piqua Celina Wooster Ottawa Brunswick Saint Marys Carrolton Kenton Ashland Napoleon Lorain Chardon Mullersburg	
COUNTY	Portage Hamilton Vinton Erie Montgomery Richland Ashtabula Tuscarawas Butler Clinton Van Wert Clermont Pickaway Crawford Fairfield Shelby Champaign Morrow Morrow Brown Warren Highland Allen Fulton Knox Logan Paulding Hancock Wood Maani Medina Medina Auglaize Carroll Hardin Ashland Henry Lorain Geauga Henry Lorain Geauga	
RANK	44 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	

20,877

14.4%

408,372

14.8%

2,838,338

418,785

17.8%

2,766,663

493,206

OHIO TOTAL

TABLE 3: # Increase in Jobs by County, First Quarter 2000 to Second Quarter 2003; Compared with Same Quarter in Prior Year Showing the Total Number of Counties with Quarterly Job Losses
Also Showing Three Year Second Quarter 2000-2003 Job Growth
Source: ODJFS ES-202 and CEOGC

2Q 00-03 GAIN	473 4,393 1,516 3,037 984 1,303 483 3,208 1,211 -512 -1,521 -1,521 -1,422 -1,888 -62,403 -1,422 -1,888 -62,403 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 308 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,563 -1,422 -1,563 -1,422 -1,663
JOB GAIN 20 2003	-31 -710 -418 -900 -418 -900 -418 -735 -735 -735 -733 -733 -733 -733 -733
JOB GAIN 10 2003	-114 -933 -296 -706 638 305 194 1347 -647 -140 -2,070 -2,070 -2,070 -2,070 -2,070 -2,070 -2,070 -1,175 -1,175 -1,175 -1,175 -1,175 -1,481 -1,482 -1,482 -1,482 -1,482 -1,482 -1,482 -2,44 -1,482 -2,44 -1,482 -2,44 -1,482 -2,44 -1,482 -2,44 -1,914 -2,55 -1,914 -2,50 -2,50 -
JOB GAIN 40 2002	-298 -1,770 -901 98 152 -802 -72 -41 -1,687 -347 -441 -3,014 -3,014 -3,014 -1,142 -1,142 -1,142 -1,131 -4,111 -1,077 -301 -301 -1,395 -682 -682 -682 -1,395 -1,395 -1,395 -1,395 -1,395 -1,395 -1,395 -1,395 -1,395 -1,440 -1,742 -1,440 -1,440 -1,440 -1,440 -1,440 -1,500 -1,500 -1,500 -1,300
JOB GAIN 3Q 2002	-103 -596 -486 -1,030 137 -299 -298 -1,232 -1,242 -1,464 -370 -124 -370 -124 -370 -124 -370 -372 -372 -372 -372 -372 -373 -373 -373
JOB GAIN 2Q 2002	-298 -1,770 -901 -98 152 -802 -72 -41 -1,687 -3,014 -3,014 -3,014 -3,014 -3,014 -3,014 -3,016 -3,075 -63 -1,142 -1,131 -1,142 -1,131 -1,142 -1,131 -1,395 -682 -1,395 -1,3
JOB GAIN 10 2002	-1,927 -855 -1,927 -855 -106 -458 -201 -328 -328 -338 -1,737 -403 -1,738 -1,429 -33,062 -33,062 -33,062 -33,062 -1,429 -33,062 -1,429 -33,062 -1,429 -1,439 -1,439 -1,439 -1,440 -1,413 -1,440 -1,480
JOB GAIN 40 2001	-268 -2,976 -670 -1,673 -1,192 -1,192 -1,192 -1,135 -1,135 -1,135 -1,136
JOB GAIN 3Q 2001	-282 -1,956 -525 -2,035 364 -981 -214 -213 -2,096 -1,022 -1,022 -1,022 -1,022 -2,385 -2,4385 -2,4385 -2,596 -2,696
JOB GAIN 20 2001	-144 -1,913 -1,913 -1,913 -1,925 418 -956 313 -1,504 -1,504 -1,502 -1,502 -1,502 -2,236 -1,502 -2,236 -1,502 -3,317 -1,502 -3,327 -1,502 -3,317 -1,502 -3,317 -1,502 -3,317 -1,502 -3,317 -1,502 -3,317 -1,502 -3,317 -1,502 -3,317 -1,503 -3,317 -1,503 -3,317 -1,503 -3,317 -1,503 -3,317 -1,503 -3,317 -1,317 -1,318 -3,317 -1,318 -3,317 -3,31
JOB GAIN 10 2001	-233 -1,434 -354 -2,151 355 -933 -673 -1368 -1368 -1,368 -1,269 -802 -2,086 -1,269 -802 -2,38 -2,086 -1,403 -2,391 -2,391 -2,391 -2,391 -2,391 -2,391 -1,128 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,403 -1,828 -96 -1,828 -96 -1,828 -96 -1,864 -91
JOB GAIN 40 2000	-264 750 229 -1,641 477 -79 -289 -138 1,651 1,320 1,677 -86 -220 202 -2,742 -182 -2,742 -182 -2,742 -182 -2,742 -182 -2,661 1,677 -86 -2,742 -182 -399 -1,50
JOB GAIN 30 2000	-134 376 233 -575 384 60 65 65 65 65 710 -74 1,205 710 -74 1,501 140 140 142 4,329 438 264 4,329 438 -127 1,501 1,161 -2,989 629 -2,989 629 -133 -133 -133 -133 -133 -133 -133 -13
JOB GAIN 20 2000	2 1,766 198 801 -737 -254 129 3,831 156 618 356 2,206 942 3,831 1,79 85 4,724 1,065 1,065 1,654 1,065 1,654 1,014 4,951 1,330 -32 1,654 1,014 4,951 1,180 -32 1,180 -32 1,180 -33 1,180 -3 1,180 -
JOB GAIN 10 2000	68 1,334 255 373 373 -161 353 336 6,698 -28 725 695 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,452 1,453 302 303 304 4,583 303 303 304 4,583 303 304 4,583 303 304 4,583 303 304 4,583 307 1,041 308 309 309 409 1,041 309 1,041 1,
LARGEST <u>CITY</u>	West Union Lima Ashland Ashlabula Athens Saint Marys Martins Ferry Georgetown Hamilton Carrolton Urbana Springfield Milford Wilmington East Liverpool Coshocton Bucyrus Cleveland Greenville Defiance Delaware Sandusky Lancaster Washington CH Columbus Washington CH Columbus Washington CH Columbus Millerseurg Cambridge Cincinnati Findlay Kenton Cadiz Napoleon Hillsboro Logan Millersburg Norwalk Jackson Steubenville Mount Vernon Mentor Ironton Newark Bellefontaine Lorain
COUNTY	Adams Allen Ashland Ashland Ashland Ashland Ashtabula Athens Auglaize Belmont Brown Butler Carroll Clermont Clinton Clermont Clinton Coshocton Crawford Cuyahoga Darke Defiance Delaware Erie Fairfield Fayette Franklin Fulton Gallia Geauga Greene Guernsey Hamilton Harrison Harrison Harrison Harrison Henry Highland Hocking Holmes Huron Jackson Jackson Jackson Lake Lawrence Licking Logan
#	$-2 \times 4 \times 2 \times $

2Q 00-03 GAIN	-14,371 444 -8,464 -1,015 2,294 -1,190 239 -3,347 -339 95 -3,347 -339 95 -3,103 -1,694 -21 -2,054 -1,269 -2,593 -1,269 -2,054 -1,269 -2,054 -1,269 -2,054 -1,269 -1,	-233,448	63
JOB GAIN 20 2003	-3,406 497 -1,286 -496 -496 -496 -675 -675 -817 -1,245 -1,245 -24 -1,403 -24 -1,039 -246 -1,039 -246 -1,039 -246 -1,039 -246 -1,039 -246 -1,039 -247 -1,039 -247 -1,039 -247 -1,039 -247 -1,039 -247 -1,039 -247 -1,039 -247 -1,039 -247 -248 -247 -248 -247 -248 -247 -248 -248 -247 -248 -247 -248 -248 -248 -249 -259 -25	-30,236	8
JOB GAIN 10 2003	-2.548 -1.963 -1.963 -7.49 -7.505 -649 -7.37 -7.37 -7.37 -7.37 -1.175 -1.175 -1.175 -1.175 -1.187 -1.175 -1.175 -1.187 -1.175 -1.187 -1.175 -1.187 -1.198 -1.19	-43,139	25
JOB GAIN 40 2002	-8,294 -504 -504 -533 -655 -290 -224 -1,740 -1,740 -1,084 -1,084 -1,084 -1,084 -1,084 -1,108	-134,429	63
JOB GAIN 3Q 2002	-6,681 -27 -2,337 -307 1,024 -731 528 -561 156 -5,409 66 -97 -1,240 -609 1,506 -223 -233 -43 -233 -233 -233 -233 -233	-38,643	20
JOB GAIN 20 2002	-8,294 -504 -504 -504 -233 -655 -290 -1740 -1740 -178 -101 -178 -101 -178 -101 -178 -101 -178 -101 -178 -101 -178 -101 -178 -179 -101 -178 -179 -179 -179 -179 -179 -179 -179 -179	-134,429	63
JOB GAIN 10 2002	-8,790 -540 -540 -571 -292 -180 -254 -12,287 -1,809 -1,809 -1,142	-144,518	70
JOB GAIN 40 2001	-8,536 -2,768 -2,768 -362 -507 -60 -340 -340 -1,466 -1,920 -5 -1,178 190 -269 -567 -1,224 155 -1,224 -1,224 -1,526 -1,224 -1,224 -1,526 -237 -1,224 -1,526 -1,224 -1,224 -1,224 -1,224 -1,237 -1,234 -2,37 -2,37 -1,049 -1,049 -1,607 -1,607 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,049 -1,607 -1,607 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,049 -1,048 -1,048 -1,049 -1,049 -1,048 -1,048 -1,049 -1,049 -1,048 -1,049 -1,048 -1,	-149,004	69
JOB GAIN 3 <u>0</u> 2001	28 28 89 89 693 693 693 693 693 68 68 69 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68	-109,393	99
JOB GAIN 20 2001	-2,671 451 -3,601 -286 507 -225 -133 -790 -346 -307 -203 -161 394 -23 -161 394 -23 -161 394 -23 -161 394 -23 -161 394 -23 -161 -23 -161 -24 -24 -24 -24 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27	-68,783	89
JOB GAIN 10 2001	-392 -3,286 -467 -1,279 -1,279 -1,475 -2,413 -2,118 -2,9 -1,07 -2,19 -2,21 -2,9 -1,07 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,048 -1,012 -2,312 -2,313 -2,313 -3,03 -2,313 -2,	-76,958	75
JOB GAIN 40 2000	-1,446 450 -1,446 450 -5,118 -5,118 -5,118 -1,159 -1,168 -	6,942	47
JOB GAIN 30 2000	737 627 -975 -134 722 -3 -40 223 -213 638 638 638 638 638 638 638 63	41,496	39
JOB GAIN 20 2000	920 -936 -936 -937 7 7 70 58 -205 -205 -203 -233 -1007 879 879 879 879 879 879 879 87	79,856	16
JOB GAIN 10 2000	-1,305 1,075 1,075 -150 82 1,519 82 -593 -79 -79 -79 -79 -79 -79 -79 -79	87,966	18
LARGEST CITY	Toledo London Youngstown Marion Brunswick Middleport Celina Piqua Woodsfield Dayton McConnelsville Mount Gilead Zanesville Caldwell Port Clinton Paulding New Lexington Circleville Waverly Kent Eaton Ottawa Mansfield Chillicothe Fremont Portsmouth Tiffin Sidney Canton Akron New Philadelphia Marysville Van Wert McArthur Franklin Marysville Van Wert McArthur Franklin Marietta Wooster Bryan Bowling Green	ت	COUNTIES LOSING JOBS
# COUNTY	48 Lucas Madison 50 Mahoning 51 Marion 52 Medina 53 Meigs 54 Mercer 55 Miami 56 Monroe 57 Montgomery 58 Morgan 59 Morgan 50 Muskingum 60 Muskingum 61 Noble 62 Perry 63 Perry 64 Perry 65 Pickaway 66 Pike 69 Putnam 61 Ross 71 Ross 72 Sandusky 73 Scioto 74 Seneca 75 Shelby 76 Stark 77 Summit 78 Trumbull 79 Tuscarawas 80 Union 81 Van Wert 82 Vinton 83 Warren 84 Washington 85 Wayne 86 Wayne 87 Wayne 88 Wayne 88 Wayne 88 Wayne 88 Wayne	OHIO TOTAI	COUNTIES I
74-1	44222222222222222222222222222222222222		

TABLE 4: Trend in Total Employment During Second Quarter 2000-2003 Ohio Counties, Ranked by Fastest 2000-2003 % Increase Source: ODJFS ES-202 and CEOGC

2000-2003 % INCREASE	36.5%	%9.8	8.0%	6.2%	2.6%	5 3%	7.5.70	0,2,4	3.4%	3.2%	3.2%	2.6%	2 5%	20%	2.070	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%	1.2%	1.0%	%8.0	%9.0	0.2%	0.2%	-0.4%	-0.7%	%0°0-	-0.370	1.40	-1.4%	-1.4/0	-2.1 % 0 10/	-2.1.70 0.40.70	-7.4/o	-2.7%	-5.1%	-5.1%	-3.5%	-3.6%	-3.6%	-3.9%	-4.2%	-4.2%	-4.3%	-4.4%	-4.7%	-5.1%	-5.2%
2000-2003 # INCREASE	13,251	2,069	829	1,548	582	984	1000	4,7,7	444	473	1.966	308	3 208	483	9 10	210	817 (3)	620	95	239	721	78	265	223	295	35	97	-21	-17	-416	350	1,70	-231	027	6//-	1,414	10,000	-19,003	-8,180	-108	-268	-1,015	-317	-1,091	-2,593	-165	-24,514	-512	-1,961	-1,788	-1,408
JOBS 2Q 2003	49,532	26,037	11,197	26,480	11,003	19,447	56.183	30,103	13,603	15,146	63.661	12,376	129 435	24.221	14,441	11,037	11,913	35,209	6,219	16,021	50,855	6,850	25.943	29,218	50,182	18,026	57,362	5 106	2,341	18 326	746,520	24,132	10,201	26.716	30,710	57,000	32,320	0/2,040	726,767	2,044	7,343	27,538	8,438	26,602	58,897	3,739	539,537	11,023	40,167	33,025	25,533
JOBS 2Q 2002	44,236	25,301	10,488	26,271	10,887	19,033	52,771	19,741	13,106	15,155	61.518	12,262	126 044	24 123	11,120	11,508	11,629	34,165	6,345	15,425	51,173	7,009	25,018	28,776	50,040	18,132	58,149	5.266	2,477	48.056	75,601	16,001	10,033	25.79	10,709	10,212	52,300	063,140	239,138	2,508	7,072	28,034	8,494	26,863	59,936	3,962	539,884	10,918	41,494	32,530	25,829
JOBS 2Q 2001	38,517	24,839	11,044	24,805	11,419	18 881	54 306	34,390	13,610	14,471	61.275	12,063	127 731	24.051	1,00,1	11,122	11,366	34,228	5,963	15,649	50,491	6,637	25.287	29,138	49,801	18,072	58,017	5 367	2,319	40.053	25,53	16.104	10,184	26,021	30,921	517,417	52,213	000,000	200,229	5,419	7,113	28,267	8,663	26,926	61,229	3,985	557,666	11,359	41,249	33,661	25,728
JOBS 2Q 2000	36,281	23,968	10,368	24,932	10,421	18,463	52,880	73,009	13,159	14,673	61.695	12,068	126 227	23,738	11,730	11,459	11,695	34,589	6,124	15,782	50,134	6,772	25,678	28,995	49,887	17,991	57.265	5 127	2,358	78,742	46,742 25,102	16 402	10,492	27.405	0,493	19,422	24,230	091,049	202,513	2,432	7,611	28,553	8,755	27,693	61,490	3,904	564,051	11,535	42,128	34,813	26,941
LARGEST CITY	Delaware	Marysville	Upper Sandusky	Marietta	Washington CH	Athens	Druperriol	Didiiswick	London	Cambridge	Franklin	Gallipolis	Hamilton	Martins Ferry	IVIALUIS I CILY	HIIISB010	Napoleon	Lancaster	Mount Gilead	Celina	Beavercreek	Logan	Steubenville	Sidney	Milford	Mount Vernon	Bowling Green	Paulding	McArthur	Wooster	Dortemonth	Millandum	Iniliersburg	Mark Dhilodolphia	Green Fillaucipilla	Ucciiviiic	Columbia	Columbus	AKTON	Caldwell	Georgetown	Marion	Kenton	Fremont	Mansfield	Cadiz	Cincinnati	Urbana	Findlay	East Liverpool	Chillicothe
COUNTY	Delaware	Union	Wyandot	Washington	Favette	Athens	Madina	Medilla	Madison	Guernsey	Warren	Gallia	Bufler	Belmont	Delinioni 11: -1-1-1-1	nigilianu	Henry	Fairtield	Morrow	Mercer	Greene	Hocking	Jefferson	Shelby	Clermont	Knox	Wood	Paulding	Vinton	Wavne	Scioto	SCIOUS	Loleis	Tuggerene	Luscalawas Dorles	Dartego	Fortage	FIAIIKIIII	Summit	Noble	Brown	Marion	Hardin	Sandusky	Richland	Harrison	Hamilton	Champaign	Hancock	Columbiana	Ross
#1	_	7	æ	4	5	۷	7 0	- 0	×	6	10	=	1.5	1 5	J -	- - -	<u>c</u> ;	91	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	5	36	22	ý c ∝	0 0	7 6	50 17	2,7	7 6	0 6	, ,	2,0	00	<u> </u>	38	39	40	41	42	43	4	45	46	47	48

2000-2003 % INCREASE	5.3% 5.3% 5.5% 6.0% 6.0% 6.1% 6.1% 6.5% 6.6% 6.6% 6.6% 6.6% 6.6% 6.6% 6.6	-4.3%
2000-2003 # INCREASE	-603 -661 -9,640 -16,754 -1,200 -1,437 -1,303 -1,303 -1,303 -1,303 -1,303 -1,303 -1,581 -7,311 -7,311 -7,311 -7,311 -7,311 -7,311 -1,516 -6,2403 -3,347 -1,563 -1,5	-233,448
JOBS 2 <u>0</u> 2003	10,815 11,727 167,193 282,917 20,154 23,745 224,217 6,569 33,181 10,660 51,363 100,683 20,585 18,428 21,581 97,501 6,199 4,516 55,493 104,203 11,225 40,660 3,189 11,225 40,660 3,189 11,225 40,660 3,189 11,588 11,588 11,588 11,588 11,588 11,588 11,588 11,696 24,921 11,888 11,767 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,776 11,888 11,888 11,888 11,776 11,888 11,	5,193,169
JOBS 20 2002	11,150 11,664 171,581 286,319 20,045 23,627 227,623 6,655 32,508 10,897 50,489 100,334 21,060 17,973 21,465 97,479 6,230 4,346 56,203 42,747 39,678 105,489 11,547 40,633 3,341 11,755 11,756 11,776 1	5,223,405
JOBS 2 <u>0</u> 2001	10,875 11,814 175,591 296,597 20,662 24,769 235,917 6,767 33,903 11,696 53,505 103,757 22,632 101,995 6,528 4,524 4,524 4,524 4,524 4,524 4,524 4,524 4,524 101,995 6,528 109,066 19,742 17,848 43,708 3,325 17,848 41,930 19,742 17,215 91,908 56,722 27,330 16,448 11,924 11,924 11,924 11,924 11,924 11,924 11,924	5,357,834
JOBS 2 <u>0</u> 2000	11,418 12,388 176,833 299,671 21,354 25,266 238,588 7,004 35,405 11,592 54,854 107,527 22,007 19,731 23,162 104,812 6,672 4,870 59,886 45,277 41,536 112,667 19,939 812,334 36,524 18,788 44,502 3,528 11,477 95,626 58,080 28,198 16,942 112,594 16,942	5,426,617
LARGEST CITY	Eaton Ironton Canton Dayton Bellefontaine Wilmington Toledo New Lexington Chardon Waverly Newark Lorain Wauseon Saint Marys Tiffin Mentor Woodsfield Lima Piqua Zanesville Youngstown Ashland Cleveland Ashland Cleveland Ashland Cleveland Ashrabula Defiance Sandusky McConnelsville Ottawa Coshocton Port Clinton Bucyrus Warren Springfield Norwalk Circleville Van Wert Bryan Carrolton	
COUNTY	Preble Lawrence Stark Montgomery Logan Clinton Lucas Perry Geauga Pike Licking Lorain Fulton Auglaize Seneca Licking Lorain Fulton Miami Muskingum Mahoning Ashland Cuyahoga Ashtabula Defrance Erie Morgan Putnam Coshocton Ottawa Crawford Trumbull Clark Huron Pickaway Van Wert Williams Carroll	OHIO TOTAL
#1	4 0 1 2 5 5 7 8 6 0 0 1 2 5 5 4 5 9 5 5 8 6 0 1 2 5 5 4 5 8 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	

TABLE 5: Trend in Total Employment During Second Quarter 2000-2003 Ohio Counties, Ranked by Fastest 2000-2003 Increase in # of Jobs Source: ODJFS ES-202 and CEOGC

2000-2003 # INCREASE	13.751	3.208	2,294	2,069	1,966	1,548	984	829	721	620	582	483	473	444	308	295	265	239	223	218	218	97	95	× / ×		/[-	17-	-108	-165	-231	-268	-317	-339	-350	-5.54 	-4I+ -4I+	-410	-473	-512	-603	-661	-732	-779	-1,015	-1,091	-1,170
2000-2003 % INCREASE	76 207	25%	4.3%	8.6%	3.2%	6.2%	5.3%	8.0%	1.4%	1.8%	2.6%	2.0%	3.2%	3.4%	7.6%	%9.0	1.0%	1.5%	%8:0	1.9%	1.9%	0.2%	1.6%	1.2%	%7.0	-0./%	-0.4%	-5.1%	-1.470 -4.2%	-1.4%	-3.5%	-3.6%	%9·6-	-1.4%	-7.3%	0 00.	%c 9-	-7.1%	-4.4%	-5.3%	-5.3%	-6.3%	-2.1%	-3.6%	-3.9% -24.0%	1.0.4
JOBS 2Q 2003	10 537	129 435	56.183	26,037	63,661	26,480	19,447	11,197	50,855	35,209	11,003	24,221	15,146	13,603	12,376	50,182	25,943	16,021	29,218	11,657	11,913	57,362	6,219	6,850	18,026	2,341	3,100	3,344	3 739	16,261	7,343	8,438	3,189	24,752	19,008	19,006	6 569	6199	11,023	10,815	11,727	10,860	36,716	27,538	3 760	7,700
JOBS 2Q 2002	77 736	126 044	53.741	25,301	61,518	26,271	19,033	10,488	51,173	34,165	10,887	24,123	15,155	13,106	12,262	50,040	25,018	15,425	28,776	11,308	11,629	58,149	6,345	7,009	18,132	7,4,7	3,200	3,308	3 962	16,033	7,072	8,494	3,341	25,601	18 912	10,312	46,030	6.230	10.918	11,150	11,664	10,897	35,789	28,034	26,863 4.435	t,
JOBS 2Q 2001	20 517	127 731	54.396	24,839	61,275	24,805	18,881	11,044	50,491	34,228	11,419	24,051	14,471	13,610	12,063	49,801	72,787	15,649	29,138	11,122	11,366	58,017	5,963	6,637	18,0/2	2,319	2,567	3,419	3 985	16,184	7,113	8,663	3,325	25,589	10.719	10,417	797.9	6.528	11,359	10,875	11,814	11,696	36,921	28,267	26,926 4.725	, t
JOBS 2Q 2000	187 32	126,221	53,889	23,968	61,695	24,932	18,463	10,368	50,134	34,589	10,421	23,738	14,673	13,159	12,068	49,887	25,678	15,782	28,995	11,439	11,695	57,265	6,124	6,772	1,991	2,358	7,127	3,452 11,320	3 904	16,492	7,611	8,755	3,528	25,102	19,0/0	12,422	46,742 7.004	6,672	11.535	11,418	12,388	11,592	37,495	28,553	7,693	٠٠٢,٢
LARGEST CITY	Delegge	Hamilton	Brunswick	Marysville	Franklin	Marietta	Athens	Upper Sandusky	Beavercreek	Lancaster	Washington CH	Martins Ferry	Cambridge	London	Gallipolis	Milford	Steubenville	Celina	Sidney	Hillsboro	Napoleon	Bowling Green	Mount Gilead	Logan	Mount Vernon	McArthur	Faulding	Lackson	Cadiz	Millersburg	Georgetown	Kenton	McConnelsville	Portsmouth	Greenville	Wooster	Wooster New Levington	West Union	Urbana	Eaton	Ironton	Waverly	New Philadelphia	Marion	Fremont Middleport	Ivinualeport
COUNTY	Delowore	Butler	Medina	Union	Warren	Washington	Athens	Wyandot	Greene	Fairfield	Fayette	Belmont	Guernsey	Madison	Gallia	Clermont	Jetterson	Mercer	Shelby	Highland	Henry	Mood	Morrow	Hocking	Knox	Vinton	Faulding Mobile	Noble	Harrison	Holmes	Brown	Hardin	Morgan	Scioto	Mollioe Darke	Werms	wayiic Derry	Adams	Champaign	Preble	Lawrence	Pike	Tuscarawas	Marion	Sandusky Meigs	MUSS
#1	-	- c	l M	4	5	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1.7	<u> </u>	16	20	21	22	53	47,6	36	97	7 6	87 70 70	30	31	32	33	34	35	37	70	30	40	4	42	43	44	45	46	4 4 7 8	ţ

2000-2003 # INCREASE	-1,200 -1,211 -1,269	-1,328	-1,408 -1,422	-1,422	-1,516 -1,521	-1,563	-1,381 -1,694	-1,752	-1,788	-1,636 -1,961	-2,054	-2,224	-2,593	-2,833	-3,103	-3,277	-3,347	-3,49I 3,847	-4,393	-6,384	-6,844	-/,511 -8 186	-8,464	-9,640	-10,453	-14,371	-16,754	-19,003	-24,514 -62,403	-233,448
2000-2003 % INCREASE	-5.6% -17.6% -9.9% -6.6%	-2.4%	-5.2% -6.5%	-10.0%	%9./- -0.0%	-8.3%	-0.8%	-13.9%	-5.1%	-10.0%	-12.1%	-6.3%	-4.2% 14.3%	-14.3%	-7.5%	-11.6%	-7.4%	-6.4% 8.6%	-7.3%	-11.0%	-6.4%	-7.0%	-7.5%	-5.5%	-10.9%	%0.9-	-5.6%	-2.7%	-4.3% -7.7%	-4.3%
JOBS 2Q 2003	20,154 5,688 11,558 18,428	52,928	25,533	12,767	18,423 23,745	17,225	14.940	10,842	33,025	40.167	14,888	33,181	58,897	33.487	38,433	24,921	41,930	51,363	55,493	51,696	100,683	257 327	104,203	167,193	85,173	224,217	282,917	672,046	749,931	5,193,169
JOBS 2 <u>Q 2002</u>	20,045 6,423 111,775	52,586	25,829 21,060	12,788	18,841 23,627	17,547	14,756	10,770	32,530	10,130 41,494	15,134	32,508	59,936	34.387	39,678	25,890	42,747	50,489	56.203	53,708	100,334	97,479	105,489	171,581	88,235	227,623	286,319	685,148	757,202	5,223,405
JOBS 2 <u>0</u> 2001	20,962 6,770 12,060	52,215	25,728 21,443	13,219	19,742 24,769	17,848	16.448	11,924	33,661	41,249	16,218	33,903	61,229	34.289	41,930	27,330	44,487	53,505 43,708	57,973	56,722	103,757	260,529	109,066	175,591	91,908	235,917	296,597	695,660	227,000 793,626	5,357,834
JOBS 2 <u>0</u> 2000	21,354 6,899 12,827	54,256	26,941 22,007	14,189	19,939 25,266	18,788	16.634	12,594	34,813	42,128	16,942	35,405	61,490	36.524	41,536	28,198	45,277	24,834 44,502	59,886	58,080	107,527	265 513	112,667	176,833	95,626	238,588	299,671	691,049	812,334	5,426,617
LARGEST CITY	Bellefontaine Carrolton Ottawa Saint Marys	Kent	Unillicothe Wauseon	Coshocton	Ashland Wilmington	Defiance	Port Clinton	Van Wert	East Liverpool	Bucyrus Findlav	Circleville	Chardon	Mansfield	Bryan Ashtabula	Zanesville	Norwalk	Piqua	Newark Sandusky	Lima	Springfield	Lorain	Akron	Youngstown	Canton	Warren	Toledo	Dayton	Columbus	Cleveland	Γ
COUNTY	Logan Carroll Putnam	Portage	Koss Fulton	Coshocton	Ashland Clinton	Defiance	Offawa	Van Wert	Columbiana	Craw tord Hancock	Pickaway	Geauga	Kichland Williams	Williams Ashtabula	Muskingum	Huron	Miami	Licking Frie	Allen	Clark	Lorain	Summit	Mahoning	Stark	Trumbull	Lucas	Montgomery	Franklin	Hamilton Cuyahoga	OHIO TOTAI
#1	49 50 51 52	53	55 55	56	28	59	9 5	62	63	5 5	99	67	89	66	71	72	73	4 t	9/	77	78	2 8	8 2	82	83	84	85	86	× × ×	

TABLE 6: Ohio OWF Cash Welfare Household Reductions Exceeding Job Growth Ohio Counties by Quarter; 2001-2003 Source: ODJFS ES-202/PAMS and CEOGC

2Q 2003 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	26 423 808 808 808 0 0 0 1,878 8,015 0 0 0 0 1,378 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000
1Q 2003 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	112 929 302 658 0 0 0 0 0 12,055 307 0 0 0 0 14,400 261 0 0 0 0 0 1,930 40 5,034 930 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	157 0 37
4Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	126 444 369 0 0 0 0 1,354 0 0 1,354 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	219 2,549 0
3Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	119 622 492 0 0 296 0 17 1,185 2,74 1115 1,857 0 101 321 803 19,859 400 139 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	324 1,681 290
2Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	313 1,803 904 0 0 0 811 0 1,689 1,689 1,132 1,132 1,138 1,138 1,108 3,718 220 3,718 64 431 1,089 3,718 64 431 1,399 0 0 0 0 1,399 64 493 9,164 413 0 0 0 1,399 64 493 9,164 443 0 0 0 1,399 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	246 3,031 347
1Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	258 2,007 853 873 47 538 793 0 332 2,604 353 616 3,498 0 1,785 1,253 615 0 1,106 34,677 34,677 34,677 34,677 34,677 34,677 34,677 384 586 10,867 843 0 1,421 0 0 1,485 155 384 283 0 0 1,265 0 0 1,265 0 0 1,265 0 0 0 1,553	963 2,767 689
4Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	321 3,062 667 1,562 0 1,203 0 1,203 0 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 1,191 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	730 1,745 397
3Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	321 2,069 535 1,976 0 1,001 27 228 252 131 127 2,218 0 0 0 904 1,506 1,003 843 482 29,757 0 0 0 843 482 2,218 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,120 1,656 107
2Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	207 2,063 2,063 2,088 2,182 0 0 961 0 11,504 142 142 144 144 144 145 144 144 144 144 144 144	757 1,467 403
1Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	278 1,541 354 354 2,162 0 938 983 434 434 0 0 1,507 0 0 1,507 0 0 0 1,307 890 804 804 804 804 804 804 804 804 804 80	292 1,950 0
LARGEST CITY	West Union Lima Ashland Ashland Ashtabula Athens Saint Marys Martins Ferry Georgetown Hamilton Carrolton Urbana Springfield Milford Wilmington East Liverpool Coshocton Bucyrus Cleveland Greenville Defrance Defrance Defrance Defrance Coshocton Bucyrus Cleveland Greenville Defrance Coshocton Bucyrus Cleveland Greenville Defrance Coshocton Beaverceek Candusky Lancaster Washington CH Columbus Washington CH Columbus Washington Cadiz Napoleon Hillsboro Logan Millersburg Norwalk Jackson Steubenville Mount Vernon	Ironton Newark Bellefontaine
RANK COUNTY	Adams Allen Ashland Ashland Ashlabula Athens Auglaize Belmont Brown Butler Carroll Champaign Clark Clermont Clinton Columbiana Coshocton Coshocton Coshocton Coshocton Crawford Cuyahoga Darke Defamce Delaware Erie Frairfield Fayette Frainklin Fulton Gallia Geauga Greene Guernsey Hamilton Harrison Harrison Harrison Henry Highland Hocking Hocking Hocking Hocking Holmes Huron Jackson	Lawrence Licking Logan
RAN	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

2Q 2003 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	3,307	0 1.096	487	0	0	793	0 3 066	148	107	1,237	4 ⊂	139	58	171	43	0 6	335 345	247 080	401	235	928	00	4 249	1,905	3,029	0	0	0 -	001	-	0	636	783	0	
1Q 2003 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	252 2,623	0 1.947	737	0 9	0	729	0 4 074	182	0	1,267	109	97	51	925	194	0 6	577	307	388	0	858	00	4 527	1,469	1,046	0	0	713	0 0	00	0	445	$\overset{810}{\circ}$	0	
4Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	2,206 5,407	0 828	0	0802	0	546	0 1 461	139	27	0 0	8 4 C	00	0	1,130	$\frac{288}{\hat{s}}$	0 %	369	>	70	0	0	512	1 854	0	2,006	229	0 {	97	0 0	-	Ś	548	196	0	
3Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	0,597	9 2.438	312	0 743	(† 0	542	0 4 914	0.	0	1,144	-	96	0	1,218	648 <u></u>	0 ;	181 55	7 0	0	0	0	1,026	2.931	0	2,451	547	0	263	0 0	00	0	537	991	133	
2Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	2,963 1,064	521 3.749	1,433	682 327	498 498	1,701	1,149 9 901	0	0	2,321	05	167	137	162	833	0 0	000	1 482	0,482	56	29	1,206	4 129	396	3,871	868	0	3,394	0 0	00	1.000	956	0	266	
1Q 2002 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	4,691 8,798	542 3.317	378	565 299	158	2,534	266 11.856	65	244	1,828	89	132	128	746	709	1,858	0 5	1 747	20	434	0	1,214	3 209	2,521	3,936	896	0	279	0 0	00	1.063	1,203	1,048	177	
4Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	4,058 8,767	235 3.143	320	486 108	339	2,489	334	146	0	1,914	1 160	0	288	529	203	1,188	0 717	1747	0	929	774	909	3.901	8,611	4,976	725	0	800	29	1,948 531	17	993	0 (0	
3Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	6,018 8,279	0 4.369	0.5	251 0	166	1,750	326 6 719	315	75	810	1/2) O	165	505	0;	1,513	7 7	1 711	39	474	426	198	2.434	7,840	5,733	197	0	514	1 401	1,491	856	1,270	0 (0	
2Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	3,929 4,098	0 4.497	290	321	155	795	395	236	177	0 %	55 187	0	254	742	0,	1,984	258	7.34 2.96	1,216	776	0	552	1 678	6,498	3,969	615	0 }	663	65	450 142	0	1,196	0 (0	
1Q 2001 WELFARE CUT MORE THAN JOB GAIN	4,340 1,787	0 4.202	494	951 1 437	476	1,465	369 2 945	257	71	145	59 701	205	246	953	156	857	298	673	1,041	1,183	14	511 800	953	7,924	4,943	1,070	109	591 78	× 5	908	762	941	633	181	
LARGEST CITY	Lorain Toledo	London Youngstown	Marion	Brunswick Middleport	Celina	Piqua	Woodstield Dayton	McConnelsville	Mount Gilead	Zanesville	Caldwell Dort Clinton	Paulding	New Lexington	Circleville	Waverly	Kent	Eaton	Ollawa Manefield	Chillicothe	Fremont	Portsmouth	Tiffin	Signey	Akron	Warren	New Philadelphia	Marysville	Van Wert	McArthur	Franklin Marietta	Wooster	Bryan	Bowling Green	Upper Sandusky	
COUNTY	Lorain Lucas	Madison Mahoning	Marion	Medina Meigs	Mercer	Miami	Montoomery	Morgan	Morrow	Muskingum	Noble	Paulding	Perry	Pickaway	Pike	Portage	Preble Butness	Fumani Richland	Ross	Sandusky	Scioto	Seneca	Stark	Summit	Trumbull	Tuscarawas	Union	Van Wert	Vinton	warren Washinoton	Wavne	Williams	Wood	Wyandot	
RANK	44 48	49 50	51	52	5 4	55	56 57	58	59	95	01	63	64	65	99	67	89	60	71	72	73	47.	2/	77	78	79	80	81 82	78	8 8 8	85	98	87	× ×	

55,268

68,553

53,004

67,738

147,669

157,750

162,719

128,182

96,588

100,171

OHIO TOTAL